L. R.

1891-92 — 218/91

Sub: Memorial of Nanjundiah
for — Jodi village of
Mallenahalli Maddigiri Taluk

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.Q. 35-80-1859
L.R. 87-89-1884.
L.R 124-90-1923. (L. R.)

1891-92

192 .

Later references.

L.Q. 315-07-1914.

File No. 215-91

Serial Nos. 1 to 16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1 to 16

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Memorials of Nagaseedaiya for Perpetuation of the Gods' Villages of Mallecondahalli, Old Dagasa Taluk

---

R.C. 16. 20

Nagaseedaiya of Mallecondahalli
Exists. dt. 16-7-1920
Re Terms Tha enclosures
Forwarded with Govt Order No. 2
dt 30-6-20.

Indexed
1/6/21
AM

WD 2253—GPB—20,000—12-6-20

DATE 17-5-92
5138
L 2718-91-1

MEMORIAL

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

NANJUNDIA OF JODI MALLENAHALLI

MADDAGIRI TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT.

V. B. Soobbiah & Sons, Printers, Bangalore,—1892.

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಮರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದೂರ್‌ರವರಾದ
ಜಿ. ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಐ. ಯವರ ಮಹಾನಸ್ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಂಗಳಿಗೆ.

ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅಭ್ರತೆಯಾದ ತೊಮಕೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮುದ್ದಗಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಸಮ್ಮತ್ತು ದು ಪ್ಲೀನರಹಳ್ಳಿ ಜೋಡೀದಾರ್ ಸಾಂಬಯ್ಯನವರ ಮಗ ಪಂಚಾಂಡ್ಯಯ್ಯನು ವಿನಯಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಜೋಡೀದಾರ್ತನದ ಬಾಕೀಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಮಾನ ನು ಮಾಡುವಂತೀಕೆಂತ ೧೬-೮-೧೯೦೧ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ೫೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಾಲೀತ ಪಜಾರು ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿ ಸರಿಪ್ಪಾರ್ಡ ಕೈಗೆನಿಂದ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿರುವ ೬೦೦-೪೦-೬. ನೆನೇ ನಂಬರ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ನಿವಸುವಂತಿಸಿದೆನು ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

೨. ಸರ್ವೆ ಸೆಟಿಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಗಿ ಇರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನ ಪಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದೇ ಆದರೆ ನೈ ತರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಆಗುವದಾಗಿ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನವ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

೩. ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾನೂಕ ನ್ಪಕಾರ ಹಾಲೀ ನೈತರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಜಿಮೀನುಗಳಿ ನ್ನು ಅರಿಗೀನೆ ನಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುಂದು ಬರುವ ಪರತ್ತು ಅದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಕರುಣಾಕ್ಷವಿಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾರಾ ಗ್ರಾಮವ ನ್ನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಇತರರಿಗೆ ಏನು ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುವಹಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ" ನೈತರುಬರಿಕೊಂ ಟ್ಟ ಇರುವ ಮಹಜರು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಗೆ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

೪. ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಜೋಡೀಲಿ ಇಂದೇ ಹುಕುಂ ನ್ಪಕಾರ ಗಣರ್ಕಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಜೋಡಿ ಕಂಸಾವನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮತ್ತು ಬೈದಾಪುರ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳನ್ನ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಶಜರ್ಜಿ— ೪೪೬೨-೩೦೬—೩೦೭೯ನೇ ನಂಬರ ಲೆಜಿಮಗಳು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

೫. ಈ ೨೩೦-೧೦-೪. ೧೪೪೬ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಪ್ರೊಟೆಕ್ಷಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ನ್ಪಕಾರ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂಗೆ ಸಳೆಪಟ್ಟ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಇರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪಜಾರು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

೬. ನನ್ನ ಬಾಲ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದೆ ನೈತಾಧೀನರಾಗಿ ಹೋದಲೆ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇರುವಂತೆ ನ್ನೆತ್ತು ವರಾಧೀನವಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹಿಚ್ಚೀದಾರ್ತ ಅನಧಿಕರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳನ್ನ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬೇರೆ ಆ ಧಾನಹಿಲ್ಲದ ನೆಸಿಗೆ ಇದೂಕೊಡಾ ಪೂರ್ವಮಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಲು ಯತ್ನ ತೆಸ್ಸಿ ಈ ನಿಕಾರ ವಾಗಿ ಅವರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನ ಆಕೇಪ್ಷಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾ ಡಿದೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

೭. ವಿರೋಧಿ ಸಂಬತ್ಸರದ ಸಧೂಲಿನಿ ಪಳಿಸಿಟ್ಟ ೧೪೦೦ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಾರೀಖು ಎಂದ ನಿವಿಗೆ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಸರಕ್ಕಾರದ ಬಾಕಿ ೭೭೩—೧೦—೧೧.

ನೆನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ-ಅಪ್ರೈಲುವರೆವಿಗೆ ವಿಕೃತಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಹುಟ್ಟುವಳಿಲಿ ಬಾಕಿಲ್ಲ ಜೋಡಿ ಪಟೇಲ್ ಬಾನುಭೋಗರ ಬೈಯಿಗೆ ಮೊಳ್ಳಂಡ ಬಾಕಿಗೆ ೫ ತಿಂಗಳೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಸಮಾ ಕಳೆದು ನಿಂತದ್ದು ೭೩೦-೮-೧೧೧ ಈ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಹಿಂದಣ ಸಾಲ್ ಬಾಕಿಗೆ ಜಮಾ ಆಗಿ ೩೮೭೦-೦ ಹಾಲಿ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ಸದರಿ ಡೊಣನನ್ನು ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಇದಸಾಲ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಾಲ್ಕಿ ಸಾಲ್ ಜೋಡಿಯನ್ನು ಖಿಸ್ತುಬಂದಿ ಮೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲೀ ನ್ ಸದಲ್ಕರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಬರುತ್ತೆನೆಂತಾಗಿ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಬಳ ನನ್ನ ಹಿರಿಯರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವ ಭೂಮಿ ತೋಟದ ಜಮೀನುಗಳು ನ್ವಂತ ಕುಂಟೆಕೆಳಗಿನ ಭೂಮಿ ಸೇರಿತ ಹಾಲೀ ನೈತರ ಕಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿ ಇರುವದನ್ನ ಅದರಿಗೀನೇ ನಡಿ ಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವ ಪರತ್ತಿಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಇರುತ್ತೇನಾಗಿ ಚೀರೆ ಆಧಾರವಿಲ್ಲದ ಕುಂಬಾ ನನ್ನ ಬ್ಬನೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಪ್ಪನೂ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಈ ಭೂದಾನವನ್ನ ನಡದ ಸಾಪ್ತಾತ್ ಸಂತತಿಯವನಾದ ನನಿಗೆ ಬೂರಾ ಗ್ರಾಮವ ನ್ನೇ ಕೃಜಾಸೆ ಯಿಂದ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಸಂದದು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ

. ಅನಾಥೊದ್ಯೋಗವೀಕ್ಷಕರ ನ್ಪತಿನಿಧಿಯಾಗಿ ಅಂಧಾ ದುರ್ಬಲರ ಪರಿಪಾಲನೆಯೂ ಮಾಡಾ ನ್ಪಭುಗಳ ಕ ರುಣಾಕ್ಷದಿಂದ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಇರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪಜಾರು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಕೈಗೆಯನ್ನೆ ಬಡಿಕೆ ದಿನಸೆಗೆಂಗಿಂದ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುಂದು ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಶ್ರೀಯುಣಾರ್ಥನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರ್ಪೆನೆ. ಅದಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡದುಬಂದ ಈ ಭೂದಾನವನ್ನ ಜೀನೋರ್ದಾರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಈ ಧರ್ಮಕೀರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಚಿತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲಿಸಿ ನ ರಿಪಾಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ರುಜು ಸಂಜಾಂಡ್ಯಯ್ಯ.

In the Matter of a Memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Nanjundia, son of Sambiah of Mallenhalli, Maddigiri Taluk, dated 15th April 1891.

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to pass the following

ORDER.

"There are no grounds for any interference with the orders already passed "refusing to cancel the resumption in 1881 of Petitioner's share of the Jodi village of "Mallenhalli. The village has since been surveyed and settled and it would be unjust "to the Ryots to restore it now in whole or in part to the Petitioner or his co-sharers. "Mysore, 23rd June 1891."

K. SHESHADRI IYER.
Dewan of Mysore.

ಶ್ರೀರಾಮ ಕ್ರುಸನಾ.

ಸರ್ ಎಂ.ಎಂ.ಇ. ಆನರಿ ಅಕೊಮ್ಪಾನಿಯರು ಕಾಮೀಸು ಎಂಕಲ್ಲು ನುದ್ದಗಿರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಸೆಂ॥ ಮುಲ್ಲೀನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಜೋಡಿದಾರ್ರು ಸಾಂಬೈಯ್ಯನವರ ಕೊಮ್ಮಾರು ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನವರಾದ ನಿಮಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜದಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬರವರ್ದಿನವರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮುಟಾಸೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ದ ಯಮಾಲಿಸುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರೈತರಾದ ನಮ್ಮುಗಳನ್ನ ನಮ್ಮ ಇರಾದೇಗೆ ಮುಕಡಿಮು ಬರೆನಿಕೊಡಿಸಿಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರಿಮ್ಯಾಲೆ ಈ ನಿವಸ ಮುಲ್ಲೀನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶ್ರೀ ನರಸಿಂಹ್ಮದೇವರು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವುಗಳು ಮುನಃಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಬರಸುವದೇನಂದರೆ,-

ಸರ್ಕೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಮ್ಮ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಜಿನಿಸಾನುಗಳು ನಮ್ಮುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮುಂದೆಯು ನಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವ ಪಕ್ಷದ್ ಆಗಬೇಕು. ಅನಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡಮುಂದ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಇನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನ ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಮಹಾರಾಜದಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬರವರು ಯಮಾಲಿಸುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪಕ್ಷದ್ನಿಂದಾ ನಮ್ಮುಗಳಿಗೆ ನಿಮಗೂ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ಆಗುವದಿಲ್ಲಯಂಬದಾಗಿ ಬರೆನಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮಹಜರು.

೧. ಸಕ್ಕರೆಪ್ಪನ ರುಜು.
೨. ಸೂರುಕಟ್ಟಿ ನಂಜುಂಡೈಯ್ಯನ ರುಜು.
೩. ನಾರಾಯಣಚಾರಿ ರುಜುಪ್ರ ಕಂಪುರ.
೪. ಗೊವಿಂದಪ್ಪನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ನಂಜುಂಡೈಯ್ಯನ ಬರಹ.
೫. ನರಸಯ್ಯನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ನಂಜುಂಡೈಯನ ಬರಹ
೬. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನ ರಂಗನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೭. ಮುದ್ದೂವೆ ಗೌಡನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೮. ಚಿಟ್ಟಿಗೌಡನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೯. ಕಾಶಿಂಸಾಬಿ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪನ (ಬರಹ.
೧೦. ಅಗಸನ ಈರನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ
೧೧. ಕಾಶೆರಂಗನ ಮಗ ಮುಲ್ಲೀರಂಗನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿ॥
೧೨. ಅಗಸನ ಮುನಿಸೆ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೧೩. ಸಾವರ ಗೋವಿಂದಪ್ಪನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಸಕ್ಕರ ಪ್ಪನ ಬರಹ.
೧೪. ದೊಡ್ಡ ಸೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೧೫. ಅಗಸನಯ್ಯಾಟಿ ರಂಗನ ಮಗ ಮುಲ್ಲೀರಂಗನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಸೆದರಿ ಬರಹ.
೧೬. ಗೊಲ್ಲರ ನೀಗತಿಮ್ಮನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಸಕ್ಕರಪ್ಪ ನ ಬರಹ.
೧೭. ಗೊಲ್ಲರ ಕದರನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೧೮. ಪಿಟಿಶೀ ಮುನಿಯನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಶೀ.
೧೯. ಪಿಟಿಶೀ ಕಡ್ಡಿಪುಡಿ ಕದುರನ ರುಜುವಿಗೆ ಪಿ॥
೨೦. ಅಂಜನಪ್ಪನ ರುಜುವು.
೨೧. ಯೆಂಕಟರಮಣಪ್ಪನ ರುಜುವು.

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

ORDER No. 4139—306 DATED 29TH AUGUST 1881.

In forwarding the accompanying petition from the Inamdars of the Jodi Kumsanhally village in the Maddagiri Taluk, to the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the Petitioners complain of the heavy quit-rent charged on their village based upon the survey valuation, and they express their readiness to pay up all arrears

of quit rent up to date on the old valuation. As the Inam village is in the hands of Government, it may the restored to the Petitioners in the event of their paying up, within a week, all arears at the old rates from Dhatu up to the present date, subject to the condition that they should for future years pay any additional quit-rent which may be fixed on the revision of the survey valuation which is now under consideration. Any payments already made by the Inamdars on account of the years from Dhatu up to date, should be adjusted in accordance with the above instructions.

(Signed) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

(True Copy)
A. SEERNIVASACHARLU,
Under Secretary.

1881.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Dated 29*th*
Despatched } *August* 1881.
Received

Enclosures No Petition 1470.

General No. 4442.
No. 307.

In forwarding the accompanying petition from Kondibhatta, Jodidar of Bhyrapoor in the Maddagiri Taluk, the Deputy Commissioner is authorized as a special Case to restore the village which is in the hands of Government to the Petitioner in the event of his *at once* paying up all arreaes due up to date.

" By Order "
(Signed) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

(True Copy)
A. SEERNIVASACHARLU,
Under Secretary.

ಮೈಸೂರು ದಿವಾಕರವರ ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ನಂಬರು ತಖ೧—೧೫೧—೧೧. ೧೮೮೧.

ಬಂಧ ನಿವೇದಕನೆಂಬಾತ ಸ್ವಂತ ಇನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಮೇಲಿನ ಕಂಪ್ಲೀಂಟು ಪರಿಹಾರವಾದ ನಿಂತ ರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನೆ ತದಾಜ್ ಮಾಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಅದುಕ್ಕಾರ್ಯ ಮೂಲಕ ರೂಢಿನೆಲ್ಪಡಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಥಾ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಿಲ್ಲಾ ಸರಕಾರದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರುವವು ೫ ಜಮೀರ್ಕಾಗಳೆ ವಿಷಯ ವಾಗಿ ಇನಾಂದಾರ್ರು ಅಥವಾ ಇನಾಮಿನ ಭಾಗಸ್ಥರ ಕೈಕೆ ಯಾರಾದರು ಯೀನು ಅದುಲ್ ನಡಿಸಿಯಿದ್ದಾ ಗ್ಯು ಅಂಥಾ ಜಮೀರ್ಕಾಗಳೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಲಾಗಣಿಯು ಮುಟ್ಟಿನಿರೋಷ ಅಥವಾ ಸೂಲಾ ಮಾಡಿರೋ ಣವಾದಾಗ್ಯು ಇನಾಂದಾರ್ರಮೇಲೆ ಅಥವಾ ಇನಾಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಧ್ಯಣಟ್ಟು ಭಾಗಸ್ಥರಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಲಿದಾದ್ದಾಗ್ಯು ಸರಕಾರದ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನ ದನುಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದುಕ್ಕಿನ ಸರಕಾರದ ತಕ್ಕೀನೆ ಯಾವ ಕುಂದಕವ್ಯ ಇರಬಾರದು. ಪರಂತು ಇತರ ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಯಾಯ ಜನಗಳು ಆಯಾಯ ಜಮೀರ್ಕಾನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ನ್ಯೂನತೆಯೂ ಇರುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಈಮೇರೆ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಸೆನ ಮುತ್ತು ಸೆಟ್ಲಮೆಂಟು ಸೆಟಾಮೊ ತಿನೆಲ್ಪಡುವದು ಪುನಹಾ ಇನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಅಡಚಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇನಾಂದಾರಿನಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಸು ಕೊಡುವ ವ್ಯಂತವಕ್ಕೂ ಇನಾಂದಾರರು ಅಥವಾ ಇನಾಂ ಭಾಗಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ದಾಖಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವ ದಕ್ಕೂ ಯಲ್ಲಾ ಕಂದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿಪ್ಟ್ಯೀ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಜಪ್ತಿ ಖರ್ಚು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಿಚಾರವಶೆ ಖರ್ಚು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಸೆನ ಖರ್ಚು ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಸಾಲಿನ ಸರಕ್ಕಾರದ ಬಾಕೀ ಕೊಬಲಗು ಇಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚುಗಳು ಕೊಳ್ಳಗುತಾ ಕೆಸ ವ್ಯಯಿಯುವ ಸಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಕ್ಕಾರದ ಪಿಂಡಣಿ ಬಾಕೀಗೆ ಉತ್ತಾರಾ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಳ್ಳೂಕಾಗುತ್ತೆ.

ಗ್ರಾಮ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಆದ ನಂತರ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ಗುರ್ತಿ ವಾಗಾಯಿತು ಇಂ ದರ್ಖಾಸ್ತಗಳ ವಿವಿಗಾಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡುವೀಕೆಂತ ಇನಾಂದಾರನು ಅಜ್ಜಿಕೋರ್ಟ ಕೊಟ್ಟನೆ ಆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಕೋರ್ಟ ಬಂದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ ರಕ್ಕಾರದ ಬಾಕೀ ಯಾವತ್ತೆ ಧಡಚ ಆಗಿದೆಯೆಂತ ತಿಳಿಯಬಲಂತಾಗ್ಯೂ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಬಾಕೀಯು ನ್ನು ಡಿಪ್ಟ್ಯೀ ಕಮಿಷರ್ಟ ಸಾಹೇಬರು ಕನ್ಫರ್ಮ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನಾಂದಾರ ಬಪ್ಪಿದಾ ಗ್ಯೂ ಇನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಜಪ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಖುಲಾಸೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಅದರ ಅಡಚಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಇನಾಂದಾರಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡಬಾಧ್ಯೀತು.

ಗ್ರಾಮ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಕೋರ್ಟ ಬಂದ ಸಂಬತ್ಸರದ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹುಟ್ಟುವಳಿ ಕೈಕಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಬಾಕೀ ಮತ್ತು ಲಾಗಣಿಯು ಜಾತಾ ಕೀಷ್ಟಣೀನಾದರೂ ನಿಂತಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಂಥಾ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಇನಾಂ ದಾರನಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸಂತು ಪಿಂಡಣಿ ಸಾಲುಗಳ ಕಿಲ್ಲು ಕೊಬಲಗು ಸರಕ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣನ್ನ.

ಜೋಡಿ ಮುಚ್ಲೀನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶಿಷ್ಯೀದಾವರಾವ ಫ್ರೌತಿ ರಾಮಶೇಷಭಟ್ಟರ ತಮ್ಮ ಸುಬ್ಬಾಭಟ್ಟ ಇವರ ತಮ್ಮ ದಾವರಾಭಟ್ಟರವುಗ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯನಿಪ್ಪ, ಮಚ್ಚು ಶಾಮಯಂಣನವರ ಮಗ ಸೋಮೇಶ್ವರ ಭಟ್ಟ, ಇವರುಗಳ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮಂಗಳಿಗೆ.

ಜೋಡೀದಾರ್ ಸಾಂಬಯ್ಯನವರ ಮಗ ನಂಜುಂಡನ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ.

ಅನ್ಯಾಯ-ನನ್ನ ಮುಚ್ಲೀನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಈಗ ೮೫ ವರ್ಷದಿಂದಲೂ ನಾನು ನಾಡಿದ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಫಲಿಸದೆ ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿ ಆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೀ ಳಿತವಾಗಿ ಈ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರಿನಿದ ಶ್ರೀಂಗೇರಿ ನೀಲಗಿರಿ ಪಾಲ್ಘಾಟ್ಚೇರಿ ನೀರು ಪಂಟದೆ ಖಾಯಿಲೆ ಸಂಭವಿನಿ ಸಿ, ಇದ್ದ ಜೈತನ್ಯನ್ನೂ ಕಳಪುಕೊಳ್ಳಂತು ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಣುಬೇರಿದ್ದೀ ಅತಿಕಯುವಾಗಿತು. ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ತಾಯಿ ಸಹಾ ಊರುವಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಮನೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಜೀವನಾಧಾರವನ್ನೂ ತಪ್ಪಿ ತುಂಬಾ ಸಂಕಟವಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದನ್ನು ನೀವುಗಳು ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷವಾಗಿ ನೋಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೀರಷ್ಟೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮುಗಳಿಗೆ ಬ್ಯಾರೆ ಬ್ಯಾರೆ ಜೋಡಿ ತಿಮ್ಮಾಪುರ ಚಿಕ್ಕನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ್ಯ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳು ಇರುವವರ ಮೂಲಕ ಅನುಕೂಲಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ನಿಮ್ಮುಗಳ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಅನೇಕವ್ಯಾಳೆ ಸಮಕ್ಷವವಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಬಹಿರಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿರಾಧಾರವಾದ ನನಿಗೆ ಈ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ಕುಮ್ಮಕ್ಕೂನೂ ಸಾಡದೆ ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಮರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದೂರವರ ಕಾಲಮಾಡಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ನಂಬಿದರೆ ಸಫಲವಾಗಲು ಸಂದೇಹವಿಲ್ಲ. ದೇವರ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಧೈರ್ಯ ತೆಗವುಕೊಳ್ಳಂತು ನೀವುಗಳು ಕೇವಲ ನೆಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿದ್ದಿರುವ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕೈಲಿ ಹಿಡಿದು ಎಮನವರಿಗೆ ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆಗಿ ಇರುವ ಖರ್ಚಿನ ಸಾಲ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸಹಾಯವಾಡಿ ಬಾಧೆ ತಪ್ಪಿಸುವವರ ಮೂಲಕ ಲಿಖ್ಯಾಚಾರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳು ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡು ಪಕ್ಕುದಾರಿ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಭ್ರಮೆ ಇಟ್ಟು ಈಗ ನನ್ನಕೂಡ ಪಜಾರು ಮಾಡಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊರ ಡುವ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ತಾತ್ಪರ್ಯವೇನು. ಈಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರಿಯುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಸೆಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ೧೫-೭-೯೧.

ಸರ್ವರ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ವಿಧೇಯನಾದ,
ನಂಜುಂಡೈಯ್ಯ.

(1)

೧. ನಿನ್ನ ಕಾಗದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಆಗಿದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಣೆಲಗು ನಾನು ಕೊಡಲಾರೆ, ಮುಂದೆ ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ದ್ರವ್ಯಸಹಾಯವೆಲ್ಲ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಿನ್ನ ಸಂಗಡ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಬರುವುದೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗ್ರಾಮ ದಯಪಿಟ್ಟ ನಿಮಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಿಗೆ ಪಾಲ್ಯವೂ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿಲ್ಲ. ನೀವೇ ಸುಖಸಾಮ್ರಾಜ್ಯವಾಗಿ ರಾಜ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನವಿಂದ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿರಿ ಬರಬಹುದು.

ಸ್ಥಳಪ್ರಯೋಜಿತ ರಾಮಾಭಟ್ಟನವರಿಗೆ,
ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯಪ್ಪನ ರುಜು.

---

೨. ಸುಬ್ಬಿಭಟ್ಟ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಅರಾಯ ನಮ್ಮವಗೆ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿವಾದ ತನಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ ಮೊದಲೇ ಟಪ್ಪಾಲ್ ದ್ವಾರ ಬರೆದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆಯೆಂತ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇವರಿಗೂ ಇವರ ತಮ್ಮನ ಮಗ ಏನೇ ಇದಾ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯಪ್ಪನವಗೂ, ಸಹಾ ಜೋಡಿ ತಿಂಗಳಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಮುಪ್ಪು ಮುತ್ತು ಗಂಜಲಗುಂಟೆ ಮಾಳೊರು ಕಡಿಗತ್ತೂರು ಮುತ್ತು ನಿದ್ದವಪುರ ಬಳಿ ಭೂಮಾನ್ಯಗಳು ಸಹಾ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ.

೩. ಸೋಮೇಶ್ವರಭಟ್ಟ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಿವಾದ ತನಿಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿಲ್ಲಾ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ಸಹಾಯವೂ ಕೇಳ್ಕೊಂಡಾ ಅಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ ತಿಂಗಳಿಯೂ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಚಕ್ಕನಾಯ್ಕನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಬೂಜೋಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಮುತ್ತು ರಂಟವಳ್ಳಿ ಬಳಿ ಭೂಮಾನ್ಯವೂ ಸಹಾ ಇರುತ್ತೆ.

೪. ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿರಾವ್ ಇವರ ತಮ್ಮಂದನವಿಗ ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಪ್ಪ ಇವರು ರಾಯರುಗಳು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪಿ ಕ್ಷೇದಾವರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀವು ಸಹಾಯಮಾಡಿ ಬಂದು ಕುಮ್ಮಿಕ್ಕು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂತ ಬೇಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ನೆರ್ಕ್ಕದಪಡಿ ರು ದುಮ್ಮಾಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಕ್ಷಿಸ್ಸುವುದು ತಪ್ಪಿಂದ ಅಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಂಚನ್ಯಾಯಾ ಗಿ ನೀನು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದು ತಮಿಗೆ ಸಂತೋಷ ತಮ್ಮಂದಾ ಸಹಾಯ ಮೇನೂ ಅಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ ಹೇಳಿ ದರು. ಈ ವಿಶಿಗೆ ಮುಂಜರಾಬಾದ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಸಪೇಟೆರವರಿಗೆ ಬಳಿ ಯಿಂದ ಜೋಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಇರುತ್ತೆ.

೫. ಇವರ ತಮ್ಮ ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ ಇವರ ಸಹಾಯ ಬೇಡಲಾಗಿ ಕೆಂಪ ಸಂದಾಪೆ ಹಿಳ್ಳೆ ಸಾಥಿನ ಬೇಕಾವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕೆವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇವರಿಗೆ ಅರಬೇಕೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಪಾವಗಡ್ಡ ಸೇರಿದ ಶೇಕ ದಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸ ವಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಕಮ್ಮಟಪಟ್ಟಿ ಇನ್ನರುಗಳು ಇರುವ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹೋಗಿ ಈ ಪಂಚಸ್ಥನಾದ ನಾನು ನಿರಾಧಾರನಾಗಿ ಜೀವನ ನಿಲ್ಲದೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಪಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು ನೋಡಿ ಯಾವ ಸಹಾಯವೂ ಮಾ ಡದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಧರ್ಮವೇ ಮುಂದು ಬೇಡಿದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಯಾರಿಂದಲೂ ಯಾವ ಸಹಾಯವೂ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ ದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠೇಶ್ವರನ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಭಗವತ್ಕೃಪೆಯಿಂದ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಮಹಾ ಸೌಭಾಗ್ಯ ಕಟಾಕ್ಷ ವನ್ನ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಬೇಕು.

ನಂಜುಂಡೈಯ್ಯ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಇಆರ್‌ಸಿ/ಸಿಎಂಪಿ/ಎಫ್‌ಪಿಪಿಸಿಎ/ಕ್ಯೂ2/2021-22/

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಆಯೋಗ

ಕೆ.ಇ.ಆರ್.ಸಿ. ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಮಿಖಾ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್, ಬಿಡಿಎ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲೆಕ್ಸ್, ಎಸ್.ಟಿ. ಬೆಡ್ ಲೇಔಟ್, ಕೋರಮಂಗಲ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 034

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 02-11-2021

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ಎಸ್ಕಾಂಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಇಂಧನ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಸರಣ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಹೊಂದಾಣಿಕೆ ಸೂತ್ರದ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ಯೂನಿಟ್‌ಗೆ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಹೊಂದಾಣಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ಎಸ್ಕಾಂಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಇಂಧನ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಸರಣ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಹೊಂದಾಣಿಕೆ ಸೂತ್ರದ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ಯೂನಿಟ್‌ಗೆ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಹೊಂದಾಣಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯನ್ನು ಆಯೋಗವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 15-11-2021 ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಆಯೋಗವು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ನಡೆಸಲಿದೆ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 17-11-2021

ಸಮಯ: ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಕೆ.ಇ.ಆರ್.ಸಿ. ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಮಿಖಾ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್, ಬಿಡಿಎ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲೆಕ್ಸ್, ಎಸ್.ಟಿ. ಬೆಡ್ ಲೇಔಟ್, ಕೋರಮಂಗಲ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 034

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವರು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ಆಯೋಗ,
ಕೆ.ಇ.ಆರ್.ಸಿ. ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಮಿಖಾ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್, ಬಿಡಿಎ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲೆಕ್ಸ್, ಎಸ್.ಟಿ. ಬೆಡ್ ಲೇಔಟ್, ಕೋರಮಂಗಲ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 034

ನಂಬರು 513.

20 MAY 92

ಹುಜೂರ ದಿವಾನ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

218-91 [illegible]

[illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಗೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಮೆಮೊ—

ನೀನು ಗುದರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ [illegible] 11 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರ ವರಿಂದ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ನಿನಗೆ [illegible] ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ೨೦೦ [illegible] ನಂ [illegible] ನಂಬರು ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಮೆಮೊದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಹುಕುಂ ಊರ್ಜಿತವಾದ್ದರಿಂದಲೂ—ಅದನ್ನು ಪುನರ್ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತಲೂ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಿವರ ನಿನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅದೆ. [illegible]

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 25 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ 1892 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ [illegible]

By order
[illegible signature]

~~[illegible]~~,
ಚೀಫ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ.

Draft

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore—26-10-87—1,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 . 19

From The Asst. Collector to the Resident in Mysore.

To L.Q. 218-9

Dated 11th August 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 2749

No. 302-93

Forwarding a Memorial to the address of His Excellency the Viceroy & Governor-General of India in Council, praying to from the Manjanadi branch for the registration to him of the village of Mallimahalli Maddagiri Taluq and remarks to have him furnished a report on the same.

Peter A.S. Moore

12/8

Put up the file relating to the Petn Case

6/578

No. 2749 — Ex. 1916
302·93

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

Dated Bangalore, 11th 189[illegible].

SIR,

[illegible]

Sir,

[illegible]

H.U. Cobb.

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated 19

Despatched 19 August 93

Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 3358
Pol No. 291

~~Asst to Secy~~

- Laid before His Excellency the Viceroy.

References to be marked.

Chief Secretary

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Petition Dept
11/10

Krishna Sastry
for urgent copy of the
Regd. Mark
11/10

Sir
Draft receipt
submd
KCM 14.10

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 941 [illegible]

From The Dy: Commissioner
Kaadur District.

L.Q. 218-91-3

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt.~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } October 1893.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 & Record.

General No.
No. 307.

Chief Secretary's Docket No: 2378 dated the 4th ultimo & Petn: 291 1893 and Reminder No: 3244 dated the 2nd September 1893 — Petn: 474

In connection with the petition addressed by the Shanbhogas of the Hobli Village of Mallenahalli to His Excellency the Viceroy regarding the resumption of his Village I submit a copy of the Inam Abstract prepared by the Deputy Asst: Commissioner showing the several steps taken in the case —

No: 30/ Tanjore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Camp Kil Petlur 1898.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras

Sir,

In reply to your docket c/o:
3398 dated the 5th August 1898 (en-
Pet: 291
closing a copy of the 2nd Finance
Pet: 474
=ber 1898) forwarding for information
and remarks, a petition addressed
by Chanjomalai of the Jodi Village—
=ttadiendatti to His Excellency the
Viceroy, regarding the remission of
the Kist, and, enclosing the due=
=mission of a memorandum showing
the general situation for the recovery
due on the village
of the arrears of Jodi Kist to the date
of the Ten Deputy Commissioners, before
upon which Government order No: 1091
of

of the 28th January 33. was passed.
I have the honour to submit a copy of
the Memorandum drawn up by
the Probationary Assistant Com=
=missioner shewing the several steps
taken in the case.

2. The Villages of Kanaranahalli
and Bhyrapura which were in=
=cluded by Government along with
the Village of Channenahalli now in
question were visited just within
a few months after their resumption
when the possession had not ac=
=tually passed into the hands of
the Government and on the avoid=
=ance of the Amildars playing off
the Government against them and
there. Consequently, it may be
observed, that the resumption was
ordered at a time and in such manner
which

which are too, remote to be considered

as having any bearing on the present

case.

3. The Inhabitants of Tervan pet-

itioned to be united to the Tehsil of

the Case.

I have the honorable,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

P. Robertson

Deputy Commissioner

Amritsar District

Memorandum showing the several steps taken for the recovery of the arrears of Jodi of Mallimadali, Madalagiri taluk, prior to the date of the Deputy Commissioner's report upon which Government order G.O. 6200 — 1091 was issued —.

On August 1879, Thamanna and Ranjanolia, Jodidars of Mallimadali presented a Ragimanu to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, resigning their right over the Drum Village to Government, owing to their inability to clear the Government arrears due on it since Fasli, aggregating to Rupees 964 — 10 — 8, on account of the devastated state of the Village and the unfavorable condition of the Jodidars, brought about by the famine of 1876 — 77 —. The Deputy Commissioner

Commissioner ordered thereon, that the [1] Village Chandal, on account of the arrears, be attached and taken under Government management or dakel and that a report as to what shall next be done in the matter should be called for from the Collector.

The latter reported that of the several Chaudharies by whom the Village was held, the majority of those present, proposed that a remission of one half of the arrears for three years may be granted to the Village, secured by Government to the extent of their share, that Chaudhari, the Memorialist, simply from his responsibility on the principle of Joint Zamindari Tenure to whom his share in the Village had been mortgaged, that the latter agreed to pay the Government arrears, if all the Zaildars who had

[1] (Vide order dated 12th September 1879).

[2] Vide No: 37 dated 2nd December 1879

had mortgaged to him a share in the Village, enquired his rights entirely in his favour, that the arrears of Jodi amounting to a large sum, the question of allowing any remission was left to the Deputy Commissioner that arrangements were however made to keep the current years harvest under Government management, that a notice was served on the Jodidars and the attachment and execution, if ordered, would be duly attended to.

The Deputy Commissioner therefore ordered, that Fazlinamas could not be accepted, that if the Jodidars should clear the arrears for Sahdittara and Pramadi time would be granted for the arrears due for Kate and Edwara under the Civil Commissioners Proceedings, otherwise a notice of sale must be prepared and published. fifteen days

* (Vide No: 24 dated 5th April 1883).

days after another order was issued * (No 13: 5th dated 12th January 1880 Vide).

to the similar, in connection with this and other Imam Villages of this District upon which arrears were dimi-
=nishing due, reminding him of his failure to submit yearly records relating to the attachment and sale of all those Imam villages whose jamabandi or quit-rent was due to Government and directing him to submit at once a statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the arrears due from Imam Villages, as also the complete records, if any, regarding those already order=
=ed to be attached for arrears of Government demand. On receiving the above statement, the Deputy Com=
=missioner finding himself charged at the large arrears of over Rs 1,000 from Solicitors using, permitted to be over for a long time, issued an order that * (Vide No. 120 of 3rd August 1880)

the demand for Khatu and Sawai would

would never have be deferred till next December and that every Judicial must pay the remainder at once or his goods be forthwith attached and sold for the entire balance.

Records, relating to the necessary attachment proceedings of the above Villages including the one under report were submitted by the Appellant on the 2nd & 3rd August 1880. of notice for sale (Vide No: 33 dated 23rd August 1880) of nine Villages of the Madagiri Taluk viz Mallenahalli of Kallenahalli Kanagenahalli and parts of the Kunigal, Tonnigere Timmalli and Thimmanayakanahalli Taluks, was submitted to the Tahsildar for approval and publica- * (Vide No: 20 dated 8th Sept = tion in Newspapers and the Bye laws ember 1880) Sale &c and a Copy thereof also sent to the Madagiri Amildar ** (Vide No: 84, dated 11th Sept = for being published among the Jodi- ember 1880) = dars and other local inhabitants, the date of sale having been fixed on the

the 15th October 880.

H5(93

A notification was published in Taluk Gazette No. 55 dated 5th September 880) Government in the Executive Dept of the Cuttack Gazette announcing the date of 25 Inam Villages of the Cuttack District including Chandikhole, for arrears of revenue due thereon —.

Out of nine Villages advertised for sale, in the Kendrapara Taluk, one was released from attachment on the whole of the arrears on it's being secured, with regard to which time was granted for clearing the balance, as part of the arrears was paid up by the Judicial and the remaining five Viz, Kanamundali, Bhujabala and Chandikhole were knocked down to Government having found it impossible to arrive at any settlement* with the owners —.

* Vide Commissioner's report No. 255 dated 21st October 880) and Deputy Commissioner's letter to Government No. 843, dated 5th January 881).

The

The Deputy Commissioner having received no complaint against the said Kelaar the exemptions proceed, they did accordingly that month after the auction took place, submitted a statement to Government stating the disposal of the Crown Villages advertized to be sold on the 8th October 1931 * (vide No: 848 dated 8th January 1931) commanding that all sales be cancelled, exemptions proceedings dismissed, postponements given approved, and arrears on, crowned Villages, remitted. Since the issue of the Civil Commissioners order No: 1230 - 1091 cancelling the, exemption of Chalicuttaikli and Eight other Crown Villages situated, in, belonging to the Batticaloa District.

(Signed) B. J. Tambiaraamiah
Probationary Asst Commissioner
Batticaloa District

"Copy"

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner
Batticaloa District

APPEAL

OF

Ranjundia Yodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Talook,

IN MYSORE.

PREFERRED TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE MOST HON'BLE THE

VICEROY AND GOVERNOR-GENERAL

OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

Dated, Bangalore, May 1893.

SUBJECT.

States that his village of Jodi Mallenahalli was most unjustly resumed on the authority of the Mysore Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6200-1—1091 dated 22nd January 1881, passed on the ill-considered motion of the Toomkur Deputy Commissioner who was made to believe the hypocritical reports to be the actual facts of the case as submitted to him by the Maddagiri Talook Amildar who was influenced by his Revenue Gumastah Seshagiri Rau to whom the said Jodi village had been mortgaged in about 1865, by the father of the Memorialist, when he was yet an infant; points out that the resumption was unlawful in as much as it was done when the Memorialist was a minor when there was no cause of action and his interest was guarded by the Court of Ward, and the Court had arranged for the payment of the arrears of Jodi and also that the local Government have paid no heed to any of his sbsequent representations; and, therefore begs, His Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to consider the grounds of his Appeal and order the restoration of the village for reasons stated.

CONTENTS.

Appendix A.

A1. Deed of gift by Subedar of Bijapur State.
A2. Revewal of the grant by Tippu Sultan.
A3. 1802. „ during the late Maharaja's period by Purnia in
A4. 1815. „ „ by Fouzdar of Bangalore.
A5. 3rd December 1870. „ by the Inam Commissioner.
A6. Geneological Tree of the Memorialist's family.

Appendex B.

B1. 31st July 1869. Copy of Decree O. S. No. 77 of 1867.
B2. 26td August 1879. Razinamas presented by Jodidars Shamanna and Nanjundia.
B3. 27th August 1879 Do Do
B4. Do Amildar's Report to the Dputy Commissioner submitting the Razinamas.
B5. 12th September 1879 Deputy Commissioner's Order.
B6. 2nd October 1879 Petition of P. Subba Bhatta to Muddagiri Talook.
B7. 25th November 1879 Statement of Samanna before the Amildar.
B8. 25th November 1879 Do Subba Bhatta.
B9. 25th Novembor 1879 Do Nanjundia son of Sambia, the Memorialist.
B10. 25th November 1879 Do Seshagiri Rao, the Mortgagee.
B11. 2nd December 1879 Amildar's report to the Deputy Commissioner.
B12. $\frac{15}{19}$ April 1880 Deputy Commissioner's Order thereon.
B13. 20th May 1880 Statement of Nanjundia son of Sivaramia.
B14. 20th May 1880 Do Shamanna.
B15. 20th May 1880 Do Nanjundia son of Sambia, the Memorialist.
B16. 20th May 1880 Do Subba Bhatta.
B17. Do Deputy Commissioner's Order to the Amildar.
B18. 2nd April 1881 Do Do
B19. 21st April 1881 Jamabandi Statement.
B20. 4th November 1890 Balance list of Receipts.

Appendix C.

C1. 29th August 1831 Devan's Proceedings No. 4439—306 releasing Kamsanahalli.
C2. Do Do No. 4442—307 Do Bairapur.
C3. 17th January 1878 Notification by the Chief Commissioner No. 3996—44.
C4. 15th November 1882 Do by the Dewan No. 4349-77—55
C5. 25th April 1872 Do by the Government of India No. 75 J.

Appendix D.

D1. $\frac{13}{27}$th May 1890 Petition to Dewan.
D2. 25th August 1890 Do
D3. 13th September 1890 Order to Petitioner.
D4. 4th November 1890 Petition to Dewan.
D5. Statement of balance of Cash.
D6. Extract of balance.
D7. 13th January 1891 Order on the Review Petition.

Appendix E.

E1. 16th April 1891 Memorial to His Highness the Maharaja.
E2. 30th April 1891 Conversation between Dewan and the Petitioner Nanjundia.
E3. 18th June 1891 Memorial to His Highness the Maharaja.
E4. 23rd June 1891 Endrosement No. 622 from His Highness the Maharaja.
E5. 15th July 1891 Petitioner's Application to the co-sharers.
E6. 10th October 1891 Mahazer from the Ryots.
E7. 22nd January 1892 Memorial to His Highness the Maharaja.
E8. 10th May 1892 Endorsement from His Highness the Maharaja.
E9. $\frac{17}{25}$th May 1892 Do Do

Printed by H. R. Misser, at the Town Press, Bangalore—1893.

To

His Excellency the Most Hon'ble,
The Viceroy and Governor General,
Of India in Council,
CALCUTTA.

The Humble Memorial of Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Mallenahalli, alias Chikka Sagara in Maddagiri Talook, Toomkur District in the Mysore Protected Native State, residing in the Town of MADDAGIRI.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS EXCELLENCY :—

To grant me permission to submit most respectfully this, my humble Memorial, praying for the redress of my grievances caused by the unjust resumption of my Jodi village of the aforementioned Mallenahalli or Chicka Sagara in the Maddagiri Talook under the authority of the then Mysore Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6200-1—1091 dated 22nd January 1881, passed upon an incorrect, biassed, and unfounded report of the then Deputy Commissioner of the Toomkoor District. From the following facts your Excellency will be able to comprehend that the Local Government denied me that justice which my case fully merits and which I humbly solicit now from your Excellency's just, and merciful hands.

2. Before proceeding with the grounds of my appeal, I respectfully beg leave to narrate the history of my unfortunate case as briefly as the facts will allow.

3. In 1865, or thereabout my father Sambia borrowed money from one Seshagiri Rau, who was then the Maddagiri Talook Revenue Goomasta on mortgage with possession of the said Jodi Mallenahalli village and died before the redemption of the mortgage. The mortgagee, taking advantage of the minority of myself and the utter helplessness of my mother, a poor idiot widow, filed a suit against me represented by my mother in O. S. No. 77 of 1867, on the file of the Judicial Assistant's Court of the Toomkur District to recover money from the property mortgaged. But the Court pitiying the miserable state of myself, an ignorant minor and that of my mother an idiot widow, and observing the wily Plaintiff's aim to usurp the property, appointed a guardian in the person of my uncle and moved the Plaintiff to effect a compromise. Having had no other alternative then, the Plaintiff entered into an amicable settlement with the said guardian and the parties filed a Razinamah on 31st July 1869, the terms of which were :—

I. *That the Plaintiff should clear the Government Jodi every year.*

II. That the Plaintiff should enjoy the usufruct of the village and of the garden for a period of 18 years in full discharge and satisfaction of the debt due to himself amounting to Rs. 1807—13—9 inclusive of interest, charge of superintendence over the property and costs.

III. That on the 1st day of August 1887, when my minority ceases, the Plaintiff should restore to me and my mother the possession of the village and of the garden in their original good state.

IV. That the Plaintiff should, in the meantime keep furnishing the Court and my guardian annually with complete accounts of each year's Revenue realized in the said Jodi village.

Appendix B. 4. The Court upholding *the terms* of the Razinamah passed a decree dated 31st July 1869.

5. This disappointed the Plaintiff Seshagiri Rau much and faded his object of usurping the property. Nevertheless he lay in wait for another opportunity to overthrow me altogether which offered itself in the great Indian Famine of 1877 and 1878, when to his further advantage a new person having no technical experience was sent up as Amildar of the Maddagiri Talook. The Amildar who was a novice, was a mere tool in the hands of the Plaintiff, not only because that he was an experienced goomastah of his Talook office, capable of doing everything for him, but that he was also an able vakeel and a wealthy man of much influence and artifice.

6. As a matter of fact, the said Plaintiff Seshagiri Rau fully bent upon possessing my estate for himself at this favorable opportunity threw the Government Jodi of the village to fall into arrears for a couple of years during the famine, utilizing the usufructs thereof as usual and forming all records at the same time to force out the Amildar to act up to his suggestions screening all the pre-existing Judicial proceedings in the case.

7. While the wily Plaintiff was thus leaving no stone unturned to ruin me, ill-luck placed me in as disadvantageous a position as could be. For, my guardian died just when he could have been most useful to me, and I had none else to give me council as to what ought to be or caused to be done at that crisis. After Rendition, the Court which had passed the decree was abolished. My widowed mother, as already said was an idiot. With her I (then a minor of only 14 years old) had to live among my cousins who being ever hostile naturally took little or no care to guard and protect my interests, but on the contrary tried their best to accelerate my down-fall. Providentially, however, the Plaintiff Seshagiri Rau died in the meantime.

8. Though the malefactor was dead, yet the evil seeds he had sown did not fail to bear forth evil fruits. For, the Amildar who had connived with the Plaintiff while alive in all his underhand dealings, and had shaped all correspondence in favour of his view, could not turn a new leaf now that the Plaintiff was dead. Having thus no other go, he, the Amildar recommended B 5 the attachment and sale of my Jodi village on enquiry by the Deputy Commissioner of Toomkoor, whether the village should be taken on account of the arrears of Jodi under Government management or sold. This treacherous and prejudiced report of the Amildar was accredited by the learned Deputy Commissioner who recommended the same to Sir James Gordon the then Chief Commissioner and got the aforesaid Proceedings No. 6200-1—1091 dated 22nd January 1881 passed to my entire ruin.

Commissioner and his accredited and injudicious report to Sir James Gordon.

17. The Amildar could not be said to have been in darkness as to the existence of the *Civil Court's decree*, and that he acted *bonafide* in this case. If he was sincere and bent on doing justice to me, a minor, he would have as a local Officer inquired into and obtained the truth and proceeded against Plaintiff or against his movable properties as the law warrants it. On the other hand if he were ignorant, he would not have acted thus poste haste.

E 8. E 15. 18. Your Excellency will notice that in spite of my statements that "I am a minor and my share was decreed to Seshagiri Rao who has to remain in possession of it for 9 years more" the Amildar contrary to all provisions of law, justice and equity secured orders for the sale or resumption of my village.

19. Your Excellency will be graciously pleased further to observe that H. H the MahaRaja is disposed to give greater weight to the claims of my ryots who never appeared before him in preference to those of myself, the highly injured and unjustly debased party. *Apply for mere justice and nothing else.*

20 The said Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner No. 6200-1—1091 dated 22nd January 1881, being against.

C 8. (a) The Imparial Government's Notification Judicial No. 75 published by the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

(b) The Minor's Act.

(c) The Madras Revenue Board Regulation X of 1831 prohibiting the sale of Estates belonging to Minors not in charge of Court of Wards.

(d) Regulation V of 1804 sec 12 Clause IV which is thus:—"the lands of incapacitated proprietors shall not be answerable for the payment of public revenue assessed thereon and if the net collections of any year should prove unequal to the discharge of the fixed assessment, the deficiency shall be made good by the surplus collections of the future years"

(e) The Rent Recovery Act II of 1864 section 28, which is thus:—"It shall be lawful for the Collector (Deputy Commissioner here) when attaching the land of a defaulter or at any time during such attachment to assume the management of the attached property."

I. And it being also further based on misrepresented facts, is entirely wrong and should be set aside.

II. There having accrued no cause of action against the village in 1881 when it being the ancestral property of the minor and the idiot, was in the hands of a guardian apparently in charge of the Plaintiff, and the Court having made other and reasonable provisions also for the payment of the Government Jodi, all cause of action was shifted to Plaintiff, and the order of the Chief Commissioner to attach and sell the village is therefore entirely wrong and should be reversed.

Plaintiff's movable properties under the order subjecting my village to attachment and sale and it

III. Under the said decree the property should have been placed in my hands on the 1st of August 1887, and my claims having commenced

on the said 1st August 1887, they are not barred but are within the Statute of Limitation under Cl. 121 of the Indian Limitation Act.

IV. The said order of the Chief Commissioner having been already partly set aside by the Dewan, it is almost repealed and should therefore be set aside. by your Excellency's former Order.

V. The Chief Commissioner's order being opposed to the Guardian and Wards Act of 1890, it should be set aside.

VI. The Chief Commissioner's order being opposed to all provisions of law, equity, reason, usages of the country and all the documentary evidences forthcoming is entirely wrong and should be set aside.

VII. The ryots of the village in question have clearly expressed their consent in a Mahazer dated 10th October 1891 to place themselves under me as heretofore, the alleged impediment cannot and ought not prevent the restoration prayed for.

E 6.

6

21. Under these circumstances I earnestly implore your gracious Excellency to be pleased to cancel the said order of the Chief Commissioner and issue orders to restore the entire village to me.

I, as in duty bound.
Will Ever ~~X~~ Pray.

BANGALORE,
May 1893.

VIII. The completion of survey and settlement in the village could not with any sense of justice be urged as an impediment in as much as Bairapur and Kausanahalli which were also attached under the same authority as Mallenahalli were restored after Survey and Settlement had been likewise completed the Survey Rules themselves being Guide to Joidars and Government.

IX. The Government was entirely wrong in not giving compliance with my prayers to restore me my village although I repeatedly offered to clear the arrears of Jodi at once while Bairapur and Kausanahalli were restored on the Joidars mere promise to clear the balances due from their respective villages.

I. The Local Court have sadly failed to notice that the Proceedings of 10th November 1883, which was passed wantonly under the circumstances already shown with the sole purpose of prejudicing my claims or defeating my just cause; the same should not operate as law, or the Civil thereof should not have the force of Law —

A.

APPENDIX

A[1] Deed of gift dated Sunday the 15th Full Moon of the Margasira month of Nala year in the eara 1478 granted on the auspicious occazion of Moon's eclipse by Sappe Gauda, son of Moommadi Chickkappagauda, grand son of Bijapur Moommadi Hire Chikkappa the ruler of Mahanad in Vijaya Nagara and of Siva gotra, in favor of Chitti Bhatta son of Somanatha Bhatta, and grand son of Narasimha Jois of Apasthambha sutra and of Ejushakhe (Veda) while Sree Atchuta Maha Raja of the glorious arms is reigning in the city of Ghanagiri, is as follows:—

The village of Mallenahalli other-wise called Moommadi Chikka Sagara attached to Bijapur of Dodda Naad, within the principality of Penagonda is granted as free gift plus water and gold on this auspicious occasion of the Moon's eclipse that my father the aforesaid Moommadi Chikappagowda and mother Guravammia may have the merit. As the village of Mallenahalli otherwise called Moommadi Chikka-sagara within its boundary marks is given as free gift, with treasures, springs quarries, trees, minerals &c. 8 kinds of inanimate matters contained within the said boundary shall all be yours for enjoyment with all the produce that may grow hereafter thereon without any molestation whatever from generation to generation till the sun and moon shall exist and all right of assignment is also conferred on you.

"Maintaining a gift made by another is doubly more glorious than making a "gift, but discontinuance of same renders ones own inoperative." "Better turn away "from resuming properties set apart as Gods or Brahmins or the rays of sin will run "deep through the pores into your frame."

(Signed) *in Kanarese.*

A[2] Grant from Tippu Sultan dated 30th Jamadilaval 1200 Hijari equal to Parabhava A. D. 1786, or thereabouts. The village of Mallenahalli is granted as Inam to Ramajois as heretofore. The produce to be enjoyed and the well-being of Our Govt. prayed for. This command is to be final and a repetition of it annually. ought not to be anticipated. A copy of this grant is to be preserved in the office record and the original returned to the Inamdar who is to enjoy the single village paying 100 Pagodas annually to our Government.

(Signed) TIPPU SULTAN,

In Hindustani.

This Grant is written in 3 different dialects. Hindustani Mahratta and Canarese.

A[3] *From*

Devan Purnia.

To

R. Ry. Arŭnachela Pant,
Amildar of Maddagiri.

After usual compliments runs thus :

The Agrahar of Mallenahalli of your Talook is to be enjoyed as Jodi by Rama Dikshita &c, 8 individuals, who should pay a royalty of 110 Pagodas a year and keep the village in their perpetual enjoyment. This Doondoobhi year A. D. 1802.

(Signed) PURNIA,
Dewan.

To

A[4] *R. Ry. Venkata Rau,*
Amildar of Maddagiri.

From

The Most Fortunate and Accomplished
Linga Raje Ars, Fouzdar of
Bangalore.

Writes this with attachment, amity, after complimenting on matters of business. As instructed by Commands received from Hoozoor dated 13th Moon's increase of Ashadha of Yoova year that it should be ascertained through Amildars that the current Jodi Villages in your Talook for Brahmins will be looked for as to the old standing official order, Hoozoor fresh grants and references of their being confirmed and as to their being held under religious or unhallowed, new or old endowments and allow them to be enjoyed according to grants without any molestation whatever and the Jodi grant of Mallenahalli, kasba Hobli Maddagiri Talook will also be looked into.

Issued by Lakshmana Balal, Soobedar of Maddagiri in the year Survajitu æra 1689.

1. Issued in the name of Ramabhatta for Chikkanahalli, Mallenahally, and Timlapura. The 3 villages are the long standing current Inams, consisting of wet and dry land measuring 2 and odd candies besides contribution amount at 1 fanam per Pagod upon the Government Talook Revenue excluding Inams of the Talook to be enjoyed as heretofore.

2. Like order conveyed in the 2 deeds which the Subedar issued to Shanbhog and Potel in charge of duty.

1. To allow as Survamanyem from 1st Ahmadi the Inam of Mallenahalli village is confirmed to Rama jois under the same tenure as held by him heretofore. The grant is in 3 different characters from Tippu Sultan to Amildars Deshmookhi &c. dated 1200 Hijari 7th chand, year Parabhava.

1. Takid dated 7th D. M. of Pooshia of Doondoobhi year from Dewan Purniah to Arunachela Pant Amildar of Maddagiri directing that Mallenahalli Agrahar to be reduced to 8 vrattics and enjoyed by Sooba Dikshita &c. 8 individuals paying 110 Pagods annually as Jodi to Govt.

The grants are in the aforesaid manner. According to the Dewan's order the aforesaid village is confirmed as Jodi to Soobba bhatta son of the aforesaid Subba Dikshita &c. 8 persons on an annual payment of 110 Pagods Jodi. The village is continued as Jodi free of molestation, of imposing gratis service, and receiving things for nothing. A reference of this order to be kept in the record and the original to be returned to the grantees. This 5th Moon's increase of Kartika of Yoova year 1815.

(Signed) *Phoujdar.*

A[5] *Extract from the Inam Register of Jodi Mallenahalli village Havali Hobli, Maddagiri Taluk Tumkur District.*

Case No.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Extent	Assessment.	Jodi.	Names and residence of Inamdars and Co-sharers with particulars as recorded in column 15.	Final order.
		K.C.P.	R.A.P.	R.A.P.	Age.	
					MADDAGIRI.	
					1 Ramaseshabhatta ... 40	
	Personal Dry.	16-9-5			2 Viswanthabhatta ... 38	Confirmed subject to a
	Wet.	22-6-0	470-0-0	320-0-0	3 Soobbabhatta ... 27	Quit Rent of Rs. 339.
	Poramboke.	14-3-15			4 Ramabhatta 25	(Signed) T. CLERK,
					7	*Inam Commissioner.*
					2 or 16	
	Total...	52-19-2	470-0-0	320-0-0	5 Nanjoondabhatta ... 46	*3rd December* 1870.
					3	
					1 or 16	EXTRACT.
					6 Narasimbhatta ... 80	
					3	(Sd.) J. P. GRANT,
					1 or 32	Bangalore, *6th October* 1890. Supt. of INAM *Settlement.*
					7 Kapanipati-sastri ... 68	
					8 Nanjoondia 9	
					9 Ammaniyamma ... 69	
					9	
					4 or 32	
					8 Vritties.	

A. 6.

Geneological Tree of the Memorialist's family.



B.

APPENDIX

In the Court of the Judicial Assistant Suptt. Tumkur District.

TUMKUR SATURDAY 31ST JULY 1869.

B¹ Before V. N. Tirmala Charyer Esq.
Judicial Assistant.

Original Suit No. 77 of 1867.

Plaintiff		Defendants
Balaji Rao, brother and Vakil Seshagiri Rao	V. S.	1st Lakshmidevammah-widow. 2nd Nanjundia son of Sambia-deceased 3rd Venketnarnappah guardian of 1st and 2nd Defendants.

Judgment

1. The Plaintiff sought the recovery of Rs. 1184 due under 2 deeds of mortgage executed by Sambia the deceased husband of the 1st and father of the 2nd Defendant.

2. The first 2 Defendants authorized the 3rd to appear in their behalf. The latter entered into an amicable settlement with the Plaintiffs vakil, and filed a Razinamah under date the 31st July 1869 praying for a decree upholding the terms of the Razinamah.

3. The Court therefore decrees that the Defendants shall make over to the Plaintiff, the possession of Jodi Village of Mallenahalli in the Maddagiri Taluk with the garden situated therein, that the Plaintiff shall enjoy the usufruct of the village and of the garden for a period of 18 years in full discharge and satisfaction of the debt due to Plaintiff amounting to Rs 1307—13—9 inclusive of interest and costs, and that on the 30th day of June of the 19th year, the Plaintiff should restore to the 1st and 2nd Defendants, the possession of the village with the garden.

(Signed) V. N. TIRMALA CHARIER,
Judicial Assistant,
Superintendant Tumkur District,

To
The Deputy Commissioner of.
B² *Toomkoor District, Toomkoor.*

Razinamah presented by the undersigned shareholders of Jodi Mallenahalli Village in Maddagiri Talook.

Dated Maddagiri 26th Agust 1879.

We tender this Razinamah for the aforesaid Jodi village for the following reasons;—

1. On account of the famine years of Dhtu and Eswara (1877-78 and 1878-79) this has become a deserted village.

2. During those years and upto date, we gave the ryots, in hopes that if their circumstances are improved the village will be brought to a better condition, as far as lie in our power Takavi amounts (contributions) as well to feed as to enable them to buy impliments of tillage and bullocks, but the village is going down from bad to worse and we are, on the other hand not only involved but have also lost all means of subsistance

3. In former times, this village was virtually granted to us on surva-manyem (free gift) but in Purnia's time, it was made Jodi the amount payable to Government being fixed at 110 Pagods, according to the Chada Beriz and confirmed to us.

4. During the Inam enquiry, the Inam Commissioner furnished a Patti (list) to say that the Revenue collections of the village is Rs. 470; of which Rs. 320 is the Jodi of the village due to Government and the balance *viz* Rs. 150 to be the Jodidar's share of the Revenues which he can enjoy paying a quit rent Rs. 19 anunally to Government.

5. While no revenues could be collected form the village, how was the amount of Inam to be utilized by the Jodidars and out of what were they to remit the amount of Jodi or of quit rent were matters not at all considered at the time.

6. From the time of the Inam enquiry, the amount of Jodi remains an uncollected balance against the village and year after year it remains an uncollected and irrecoverable heavy balance. We are deep in debts and are unable to clear the amount of the arrears of Jodi due to Government. The arrears of jodi due for the years of Dhatu' Eswara, and Bahudhanya have not been collected at all, from the village. We paid the amounts of Jodi as well as we can. Nevertheless a balance of Rs. 118—0—8 for Dhatu, Rs. 248—14—0 for Eswara Rs. 229—6—0 for Bahudhanya and Rs. 268—6—0 for the current year is still due. We cannot pay as we are deeply involved and we pray our circumstances may receive your favorable consideration and the village resumed by Government. If out of the village dry land, piece or pieces to the extent of our Inam income *viz* Rs. 150 be allowed us, we want nothing more and we shall enjoy it in peace praying at all times for the continuance and prosperity of the Government.

(Signed) JODIDAR SHAMANNA
JODIADAR NANJUNDIA.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARAINA ROW,
Revenue Sherustadar.

To

B[3] The Amildar of Muddagiri Taluk.

Razinamah presented by the undersigned shareholders of the Jodi Mallenahalli village in Muddagiri Taluk Dated 27 August 1879. The same copy as the above.

To

The Depuiy Commissioner,
Toomkoor

B[4] *From*

The Amildar of,
Maddagiri.

SIR,

Enclosed is the Razinamah presented by the Jodidars of Mallenahalli Village

of this Talook. That the Village is in a ruinous condition and that they cannot improve it. Rs. 965—10—8 is due from it. Orders are awaited as to the Razinama being accepted.

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARRAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[5] ORDER.

As the Razinamah was not presented in time, it can be accepted only with effect from 1880-81. This will be done.

The arrears of Jodi due as well as Jodi for 1879-80, should be regarded as a demand against the Jodidar.

On account of the *arrears* the Jodi Village should be attached and taken under Government management or sold.

Call for a full report from Amildar as to what shall best be done, and Endorsement to Jodidar.

(Signed) K. SESHADRI AIYER,
Deputy Commissioner.

12th September 1879.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARRAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

To

The Maddagiri Talook Cutchery (Office)

Petition presented by Panchangada Soobba bhatta residing in Maddagiri.

B[6] *Dated Maddagiri 2nd October* 1879.

After deducting what was already paid on account of the arrears of Jodi and quit-rent due from my 6 Annas share of the Village of Jodi Mallenahalli of Haveli Hobli, the balance due up to date is Rs. 240.

We the brothers *viz* Ammannammah, widow of Ramasesha batta, Soobbba butta (myself), and brother deceased Rama batta's son Sooba Rao, are the claimants of 6 As share of the Village. We were divided but this Village and few other Manyem lands are not yet divided. The produces only are being divided amongst us. During the famine years of Dhatu, Rama bhatta died. There was no income from the Village since, as that was in a ruinous condition. As the deceased Rama bhatta contracted debts, his creditors filed suits, obtained decrees and tried to sell the Village in execution to the execut of Rama bhatta's share. I futher understand that Rama bhatta's house was sold 2 months ago. His share in the Village of Timlapur of Puravar Hobli which we enjoy also, was sold on the 29th September last. Very large arrears being still due to Goverement from Jodi Mallenahalli and other minor Inams, I am afraid that the Government might question the other share-holders why they failed to report to Government when Rama bhatta's share was being sold Hence the report. If Rama bhatta's share in the Village of Timlapura is gone,

there is no other property by which the Government arrears against him can be cleared. They (his widow and son) have left Mallenahalli and are living in Paugada to avoid the demands of the creditors and of the Government. I have reported facts as they exist at present.

(Sd.) SUBBA BHATTA.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARRAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[7] *From*

Maddagiri Talook Cutcheri

Dated Maddagiri 25th November 1879

Statement of Shamanna alias Nanjundappa. Jodidar of Mallenahalli residing in kasbah Maddagiri.

Question—Yourself and Nanjundiah have tendered a Razinama to the village of Jodi Mallenahalli. Are you the sole proprietors or are there other share holders?

Answer—There are other share-holders also. I have but 2as share in the village Nanjundiah and 3 other share-hotders who have also tendered razinamah, have 0—3—6 share. Our Razinamah is to the extent of our shares and not to the whole village. Besides, Nanjundia son of Sambia has 0—3—6 share and soobbabhatta and 3 others possess 6as share.

2. Why did you then tender your razinamah now?

As the village was granted on chada Berize system (a system of fixing Government assessment) by fixing Rs. 320 as Jodi while we actually enjoyed it as khayem goota to the time of Inam settlement, we were called upon to furnish a measurement (Pai mayishi) of the village which we submitted. Instead of fixing assessment on a cultivable portion of the village lands, and levying quit-rent thereon hills and hillocks, unarable and waste lands, all have been taken to account and Rs. 170 levied besides Rs. 29 as the quit rent and local fund leaving Rs. 150 only as the share of the Jodidar. Moreover we hear tank fund, is likely to be levied this year. Since, the additional sums gradually introduced and levyed this year forms a heavyburden on the revenues of the village which should be lightened if not cancelled altogether. There was a breached tank belonging to our village, which in 1862, the Amildar Mr. Arunachela Moodliar repaired and eventually attached to Sambonahalli neighbouring Government village, in spite of our hard contention. By raising the said tank bund and the sluice, our villagelands to a considerable extent is merged. The tank is within our own boundaries. The Government shut our mouths then by proposing a condition to us that water to irrigate $6\frac{1}{2}$ candies of land in our holding will be, supplied if we give up fighting with Government and the sluice will never be raised at all. Under the virtue of this condition $6\frac{1}{2}$ candies of our own land is yet supplied with water. But without any regard to former promisses and conditions, the sluice was raised in 1871, in spite of our contentions, but as it seemed, a little matter none heeded. The rise of the sluice has deprived us of 4 candies of our best land. Our interest was thus highly damaged. Under orders from hoozoor Mr. Venket Narniengar reported on the subject matter of our complaint. Nothing was done to this day. Land in exchange of that merged should be allowed us. During the famine years of Dhatu and

Eeswara ryots either deserted the village or died. Notwithstanding we gave considerable sums as Takavi involving ourselves in debts and great efforts to maintain them. Our circumstances were quite crippled but yet ruin spread fast into the Village like wild fire. If a remmission of half the sum out of the arrears of Jodi at present due to Government from our village is made, we pay up the other half at once, and we will continue to pay up the Jodi by instalments hereafter year by year under the old rate. If on the contrary, payment of sum or in other words clearing of arrears is insisted on we have no means whatever. In that case our Razinama already tendered may be approved and my share in the village resumed by Government.

(Signed) SHAMANNA ALIAS NAJUNDA BHATTA,

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

"True Copy"

(Signed) NARAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

From

B[8] *Maddagiri Talook Cutchery (Office)*
Dated 25th November 1879.

To

SOOBBA BHATTA,
Jodidar of Mallenahalli, Talook of Maddagiri.

Question—Hear the (reading) Razinama tendered by Nanjundiah and Shamannah for Mallenahalli Jodi village. What have you to say in the matter?

Answer—I and my brothers possess 6 As. share in the village. I am not individually responsible for any loss or gain as to their shares. Mine is but 2 As. share. The famine year of Dhatu has quite reduced us. If a remission of half the arrears due to Government upon my share of the village is made, I pay the other half at once under the old rate of Jodi, and will continue to pay up the same hereafter year by year. If not, I do not want the village at all.

(Signed) SUBBA BHATTA,
Jodidar.

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

"True Copy"

(Signed) NARAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[9] *From*

Maddagiri Talook Cutcheri
Dated 25th November 1879.

Examination of Nanjundiah son of Sambia, Jodidar of Mallenahalli in Maddagiri.

Q. Hear the (reading) Razinama tendered by Shamanna and Nanjundia to Jodi Mallenahalli village, What have you to state in the matter?

A I have 3½ As share in the village. It is not in my enjoyment. My father, while alive, had mortgaged it to one Revenue goomastah Seshagiri Rau for debts due, and it has yet to remain in his possession for a further period of 9 years more. At present he alone is responsible for its loss or gain and I can do nothing in this matter.

(Signed) NANJUNDA SON OF SAMBIAH.

B[10] **Examination of Revenue goomastah Seshagiri Rao.**

Dated 25th November 1879.

Q. Hear the statement of Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Mallenahelli village. He (Nanjundia) says that the village is mortgaged to you. What do you say in the matter.

A. Besides Sambia's son Nanjundia's share being mortgaged, I have 3 As share belonging to Shamanna alias Nanjundabhatta and 0—3—6 share belonging to Nanjundia grand son of Kapinipati Shastri who has just tendered his resignation also mortgaged to me. In the event of these gentlemen making me alone responsible for all loss and gain as regards their respective shares which are mortgaged to me, I have no objection to hold myself liable for all the arrears due from the village. If they (shareholders) give up their respective shares in my favor, I would clear the balance due to Government. Government knows fully well that the past 2 were the hard famine years. If the Government so desires, I will clear the arrears by 4 instalments and will also duly pay the amount of Jodi due for the currant year by instalments.

(Signed) SESHAGIRI RAO,
Revenue Goomasta in Maharatta.

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARAIN ROW,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[11] **Report of the Amildar of Maddagiri Talook.**

Dated 2nd December 1879.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Toomkoor.

In reply to No. 189, calling for an explanatory report in the matter of Razinamas tendered by Shamanna and Nanjundia Jodidars of Mallenahalli village of this Talook, I submit that Shamanna who just resigned holds 3 Annas share in the Jodi village and states that, owing on one hand to the late famine, the village is desolated and on the other, the quit-rent and other sums levied by Government have risen too high and he has therefore resigned to the extent of his share. He further states that if a remission of one half of the arrears of Jodi due since 3 years is allowed, he will clear the balance of the arrears. Otherwise, the village to the extent of his share might be resumed by Government. This individual's share also is mortgaged to the Revenue goomastah Seshagiri Rao.

Nanjundiah is one of the 3 share-holders of 3 As. and 6 pie share in the village, and has resigned. Whether his resignation relates to the extent of his own

share or all shares are included cannot say. At present he is not in the village. He his reported to have gone to Nanjingode. The other 2 share-holders being absent at Mysore, cannot be examined. The shares of these individuals also are mortgaged to the aforesaid Seshagiri Rao.

Nanjundiah son of Sambiah states that, his share of the village being also mortgaged, the said Seshagiri Rau alone is responsible for all loss and gain relating to it.

There is another Soobb bhatta and 2 others holding 6 As. share in the village. This Soobbabhatta, the 2 As. share-holder of these, states that if a remission of one half of the arrears of Jodi is allowed, he will pay up the other half at once, and continue to pay the Government Jodi punctually in future, but should his prayers be not granted, the village to the extent of his share might be resumed by Government. The other 2 individuals being absent at Paugada and other places cannot be examined.

The mortgagee Revenue goomasta Seshagiri Rau, in his examination, admits the share being mortgaged as said above and if, in the event of the share-holders giving up all their claims in his favor and making him liable for loss or gain, he is ready to clear the Government arrears; but the amount being too high, he will pay off the amount due in 4 instalments and as regards the current years Jodi, he shall pay by instalments (khistbandi).

I have personally seen the village. Owing to the late famine, it is lying desolate. Prior to famine, this village contained 43 houses with 187 population. There are but 25 houses and 74 population at present. At all events, the Jodidars surely gave the ryots Takavi and other pecuniary aids, yet whatever help was rendered, it was of no use. It is true that the Jodidars sustained immense loss. The village is held by 9 share-holders. Several of those present here, pray that a remission of half the arrears due may be granted, or urge that the Government may resume the village to the extent of their shares. 3 years arrears amount to 1000 Rs. which is due from the village as Jodi. As to allowing remission prayed for, it is your pleasure,

This year, half the lands are under cultivation. I have arranged to reap the present years harvest under Government in the presence of Jodidars. Under Circular of 1891 notice was served on them. Attachment and execution, if ordered will be duly attended to.

The village is not surveyed. On examining the ryots in the village, they say if Nos. are separated and given, they shall cultivate.

The Revenue goomasta Seshagiri Rau has in the village 10 As share mortgaged to him. He says if the village is attached and sold, he will buy the whole, and he will clear the standing arrears in 4 instalments if the Government so permits him, and as to the current years Jodi he says he will duly pay by instalments. Orders are awaited as to how to proceed in the matter.

There are applicants to take up the village on contract and pay the Jodi year by year; but if such as these are called upon to clear the standing arrears,

they decline to do so. As the mortagee holds 10 As share already, it is likely he will buy the village at the sale if regular attachment and sale is enforced under the rules.

The village consists of minor Inams as shown in the enclosed list. Statement and other records are also appended.

Report on the complaint made by the Jodidar Shamannah regarding "water merged land" with the statements will follow. Previous references in the matter are being looked into.

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

(Signed) RANGA RAU,
Acting Sherustadar. "True Copy"

(Signed) NARRAIN RAO,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[12] ORDER THEREON.

Phoot (Sundry) Razinamas cannot be accepted. If the Jodi arrears due for the years Bahudhanya and Pramadi shall have been paid, time will be granted for the arrears due for Dhatu and Eeswara years under the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings, if not, a notice of sale of the village will be prepared and submitted. Enclosures returned.

TUMKOOR,
$\frac{15}{19}$*th April* 1880.

(Signed) SESHADRI IYER,
Deputy Commissioner

"True Copy"
(Sd.) NARRIN ROW,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[13] *From*

Maddagiri Talook Cutchery (Office)
Dated 20th May 1880.

Statement of Nanjundia, son of Shiva Ramia Jodidar of Mallenahalli.

Question—Hear the order No. 24 from the District Hoosoor that "Phoot "Razinamas tendered by Jodidars cannot be accepted and if the arrears due for the "years Bahudhanya and Pramadi are cleared, time may be granted for the arrears "due for Dhatu and Eeswara years, but in the event of those not being yet cleared, "directing me to set up the village for sale," state if you have cleared the arrears of Bahudhanya and Pramidi years?

Answer—I heard the order read. My father and 2 uncles have 3 As 6 pie share. My father died about 3 years ago. My resignation refers to my own share. I have not cleared the arrears due for the years Bahudhanya and Pramadi and also of previous years. My share is not in my possession and enjoyment. My father's name being mentioned, I tendered my Razinamah. This 3 As. and 6 pie share was mortgaged to Revenue goomastah Seshagiri Rau during the life-time of

my grand-father Kapini Pati Sastri. I have not the slightest means where-with to clear the arrears due from the village, and I have not the slightest objection to Government attaching and selling the village.

(Signed) NANJUNDIA,
Jodidar.

(Signed) RANGA ROW,
Sherustadar.

B[14] **Examinstion of Shamanna Jodidar of Mallenahalli.**

Question—Hear the order No. 24 from the District Hoosoor, and what have you to state in this matter.

Answer—The arrears due for Bahudhanya is almost cleared. Jodi for Pramadi year is all due. Arrears for Dhatu and Eeswara are due also. I have no means to pay these items of Jodi. The Government may proceed as it deems best

20—5—80. (Signed) SHAMANNAH,
Jodidar.

Examination of Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Mallenahalli

B[15] Question—Hear the order No. 24 from the District Hoosoor and state your wishes in this matter?

Answer—My share in the village is not in my possession. I already urged that upon my father's demise *my share in the village was decreed to the mortgagee Revenue joomastah Seshagiri Rau* on account of debts contracted by my late father. I cannot clear the arrears due from the village. *I am a minor and I cannot object to Government proceeding as it deems best under such circumstances.*

(Signed) NANJUNDIA,
Jodidar.

(Signed) RANGA RAU,
Sherustadar.

Examination of Soobba Bhatta Jodidar of Mallenahalli village.

B[16] Dated 20th May 1880.

Question—Hear the order from the District Hoosoor regarding the village of Jodi Mallenahalli, and state your wishes in this matter.

Answer—I heard the order. The amount of Jodi for the Bahudhanya is paid to certain extent. For Pramadi, nothing is paid. At present no means to pay. I do not object to Government taking action as it deems best.

(Signed) SOOBBA BHATTA,
Jodidar.

(Signed) RANGA ROW,
Sherustadar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARAIN ROW,
Revenue Sherustadar.

B[17] *From*

The Deputy Commissioner,

Toomkoor.

To

The Amildar of Maddagiri.

SIR,

In reply to the report dated 13th October last, which was submitted from this office after setting to sale the Jodi villages of your Taluk, on account of arrears due to Government the Chief Commissioner was pleased to accord sanction in his Proceedings No. 6200–1—1091 dated 22nd January last. In accordance therewith, you are directed to resume the village forthwith. The arrears due from Kamsanahalli, Bairapur, and Mallenahalli is not cleared nor the Jodidars have come to any terms. The Chief Commissioner has written to the Survey Department to put up the Khetwar (by each field) survey Bonds, and to proceed with them as if they were Government villages. You are directed to give the fields to ryots for cultivation *pro-tem-pore;* submit a correct statement showing the arrears due to Government from each field that the question of remission to each may be considered, obtain the title-deeds from the Inamdars and send them on to this office.

As regards Jodi Advi Nagenahalli, Khayamgootta Erannanahalli, Shivangiri, Jodi Gampalahalli and Hanmantapura, these 5 villages having made part payments, the 8 villages, with the exception of Shivangiri should be granted time till the end of March to clear the previous years arrears and the current years also. Out of the last years arrears due from Shivangire Jodidar, Rupees 800 to be credited to the year Vishu. The arrears due for the previous years and those for the current year also, should be cleared before the close of March, but the village shall remain under attachment till that time. The arrears being cleared from Khayemgootta Varadanahalli the attachment shall be removed and the village restored to the Jodidar. Your Arzee Nos. 253 and 254 on the subject is returned with enclosures. Beware that the above instructions are duly carried out.

(Signed) B. K. VENKAT VARADIENGAR,
For Deputy Commissioner.

(Signed) SOOBBIAH,
Sherustadar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARAINA ROW,
Revenue Sherustadar.

In reply to the Maddagiri Talook Amildar's Arzee.

No.

The Revenue arrears of the Jodi villages purchased to Government viz; Kamsanahalli, Bairapura, and Mallenahalli amount to Rs. 2,733—3—4, as shown in the accompanying statement. The amount may be debited as the sum remitted in the receipt account for the month of March with reference to item and year. The Inam title-deeds of the 3 villages may be obtained and sent on to this office sharp.

Dated 2nd April 1831 *Tumkur.* (Signed) K. SESHADRI IYER,
Deputy Commissioner.

"True Copy"

(Signed) NARAIN RAU,
Revenue Sherustadar.

Statement of the Annual Jamabandi showing the Revenues realized and arrears due from the Jodi Mallanahalli Village, Maddagiri Taluk to the end of March 1881, as the sum remitted.

Item.	Nos.	Years.	Jodi Quit-rent of the past year.	Local cess & assessment Rs. 470 at 1 Anna in the Rupee.	Tank fund according to the Revenue for wet and garden lands.	Total.	Remarks.
Jamabandi.	1	Dhatu ...	339	29 6 ...	... ...	368 6 ...	
	2	Eeswara ...	339	29 6 ...	... ...	368 6 ...	
	3	Bahudhanya ...	339	29 6 ...	7 3 9	375 9 9	
	4	Pramadi ...	339	29 6 ...	10 1 0	378 7 ...	
			1356	117 8 ...	17 4 9	1,490 12 9	
Jodi paid or received.	1	Dhatu ...	193 4 4	... ...	... ...	193 4 4	
	2	Eeswara ...	108 0 7	.. ..	... ...	108 0 7	
	3	Bahudhanya ...					
	4	Pramadi ..					
			301 4 11	... ...	... ...	301 4 11	
	1	Dhatu ...	145 11 7	29 6 ...	... ...	175 1 7	
	2	Eeswara ...	230 15 5	29 6 ...	... ...	260 5 5	
	3	Bahudhanya ...	339	29 6 ...	7 3 9	375 9 9	
	4	Pramadi ...	339	29 6 ...	10 1 ...	378 7 ...	
			1,054 11 ...	117 8 ...	17 4 9	1,189 7 9	

(Signed) GANGIA,
Amildar.

Despatched date 21*st April* 1881.

According to statement already submitted Rs. 1,206—13—9. Of this, annually due from the minor Inamdars is Rs. 4—5—6 for 4 years Rs. 17—6—0. Balance due Rs. 1,189—7—9.

1136—4—4 Due from the Inamdar himself. 53—3—5 Paid to the Shanbhog Narnappah, but not credited to Government as per receipt produced.

235—0—5 Dhatu.
119—8—0 Eswara.
354—8—5

Of this sum remitted to Government Rs. 301—5—0.
Balance due Rs. 53—3—5.

This sum stands due from Shanbhog Narnappa but he having misappropriated money collected in the Government villages, he died and all his lands &c., confiscated and sold for Rs. 1,189—7—9.

21*st April* 1881.

(Signed) GANGIAH,
Amildar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) NARAIN RAO,
Revenue Sheristadar.

Balance list of receipts from the Jodi Mallenahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Muddagiri Taluk Tumkur District.

Hindu and English years.	Net amount remitted each year under all items.	Quit-rent receipt on the annual Jodi.	Balance on hand.	Arrears against the village due from the year Bahanya and receipts also.			Interest due from the April of each year to the end of March at 1 pie per Rupee		Balance due from the famine years of Dhatu and Eeswara.		Expenses for the village supervision *viz.* Potgi for the Patel and Shanbhog to be deducted from the sums shown in column 3.	Remarks.
				Balance.	Rupees from the amount in the 3rd column.	Balance.	Interest due on the amount shown in column. 4	Receipts as shown in column. 3	Balance.	Receipts from the amounts shown in column 3.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Bahudanya from April 1879 to the end of March 1876.	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	875 9 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	23 7 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	Government arrears from the village up to 31st October 1890.
Pramadi 1879—80 do...	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	754 0 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	47 2 1	0 0 0				
Vikrama 1880—81 do...	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1,132 7 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	70 5 0	0 0 0				Ryots put up bonds. Ruined Mantaps of the village, and stone door frames of the gardens supplied the Pahani stones.
Vishu 1881—82 do...	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1,510 4 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	94 6 8	0 0 0				
Chitrabhanu 1882—83 do...	362 7 0	362 7 0	0 0 0	1,526 14 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	95 6 8	0 0 0				
Swabhanu 1883—84 do...	678 10 9	378 7 0	300 3 9	1,526 14 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	95 6 8	0 0 0	435 7 0	300 3 9		
Tarana 1884—85 do...	787 14 11	378 7 0	359 7 11	1,526 14 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	95 6 8	224 4 8	0 0 0	135 3 3		
Partiva 1885—86 do...	740 7 4	378 7 0	362 0 4	1,526 14 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	95 6 8	362 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0
Viya 1886—87 do...	876 3 9	378 7 0	497 12 9	1,526 14 9	310 4 1	1216 10 8	95 6 8	126 0 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	0 0 0
Sarvajitu 1887—88 do...	760 11 9	378 7 0	382 4 9	1,216 10 8	224 12 1	971 14 7	76 3 0	76 3 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	8 0 0
Sarvadhari 1888—89 do...	696 3 10	378 7 0	318 1 10	971 14 7	197 4 11	774 9 8	59 4 11	59 4 11	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	0 0 0
Virodhi 1889—90 do...	604 2 11	378 7 0	225 11 11	774 9 8	115 13 4	658 12 4	48 6 7	48 6 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	0 0 0
Vikruti 1890—91 do...	745 7 11	378 7 0	367 0 11	658 12 4	254 5 4	404 7 0	41 2 0	41 2 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	0 0 0
Khara 1891—92 do...	486 14 8	378 7 0	108 7 8	404 7 0	21 15 2	382 7 10	25 0 6	25 0 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	61	0 0 0

4—11—1890.

(Sd.) NANJUNDIA

D.
APPENDIX.

To

K, SESHADRI IYER Esq., B. A. B. L. and C. S. I.
Dewan for the Government of
His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore.

D¹ The Humble Petition of Nanjundia one of your Dependants and the son of Sambia deceased Jodidar of Mallenahalli, Kasba Hobli Maddagiri Talook in the District of Tumkur.

MOST HUMBLY SHOWETH.

The aforesaid Jodi Mallenahalli village consists of 4 vratty (free) lands. Of which the Petitioner owns one. Owing to dearth of Dhatu and Eeswara years, the amount of Jodi fell in arrears, and the other Vrittidars exclusive of the Petitioner, unable to meet demands both from Government and other creditors, presented Rajinamahs in the year Pramadi tendering the village to Government.

On account of the Petitioner's father's debts one Seshagiri Rao the Revenue Goomastah of Maddagiri, filed a Civil Suit subsequent to the Petitioner's father's demise. Petitioner then being a minor, the Court decreed that the Plaintiff should have the possession of the Defendant's share of the Jodi village with the garden situated therein to enjoy the usufruct of the village and of the garden for a period of 18 years in full discharge of the debt due to Plaintiff inclusive of interest and costs and that the Plaintiff shall restore to the 1st and 2nd Defendants the possession of the village with the garden in the order in which it was when he took possession of them. The Estate should have been handed over to the Petitioner's enjoyment in the Parthiva year under the decree but the garden dried up during the famine. The demands of creditors for debts due, and of the Government for arrears of Jodi, both overtaking them at the same time, naturally suggested the Petitioner's co-sharers to adopt a course to get clear off both, and that course was to give up the village to Government. All of a sudden, the Petitioner, an unclaimed minor was called on to offer his reply to the unexpected sharp-demands for the arrears of Jodi that if the hypothecatee does not clear the arrears of Jodi, Seshagiri Rao might do as he pleased. For these reasons, the Petitioner's share of the village was also merged to Government. Petitioner is void of all means of subsistance, and has no home. His difficulties are inexpressible, and his prayers are laid at your benign feet.

The Petitiener most earnestly prays that the records regarding the village as well as the above reasons may be considered together, and out of the revenue realized from the time the village was taken charge of by the Government, deduct the amount of Jodi, set off the balance against the arrears due thereon and restore the village to Petitionor after all and every encumbrance has been cleared outright.

The right of Pateli may also be conferred on the Petitioner.

The Petitioner's right to the village and the post of Pateli may receive a fair consideration at your kind hands.

13/27—5—90 (Signed) NANJUNDIA,

"True Copy"
(Signed) A. SRENIVASACHARLU,
Under Secretary.

To

The Dewan for the Government of
His Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore,

D²

Bangalore.

The Humble Memorial of Nanjundia, son of Sambia deceased Jodidar of Mallenahalli Kasba Hobli Maddagiri Taluk.

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH.

With reference to an endorsement No. 311 dated 7th June 1890 intimating that the Petitioner's Memorial dated 27th May praying for the restoration of the Jodi Mallenahalli village which the Government resumed on account of arrears of Revenue due, is referred to the Deputy Commissioner for a detailed report. The Deputy Commissioner to whom the Petitioner paid a visit, replied verbally to say that the detailed report called for has been submitted.

The main features of the case deserving of your honor's consideration are:-

The Memorialist's father Sambiah, uncle Kapanipathi Sastri, consin Nanjunda Bhatta alias Shamanna, have each borrowed Rs. 500, from the Revenue goomastah Seshagiri Rao of Maddagiri hypothecating their respective shares and now the shares have been given up to his charge. In the year Akshaiya, Sambia the Memorialist's father died and the said Seshagiri Rao filed a Suit in 1869, in the Court of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner of Tumkur District. The Court awarded a Decree that the Plaintiff should enjoy 3 Annas 6 pie share of the Memorialist and the arecanut garden of the village for a term of 18 years, under the Ilibhogyem tenure (a system of contract in which the borrowers estate is made over to the money lender on condition that excluding interest and all the other incidental outlays, the surplus to be credited towards the principal amount lent,) paying the Jodi amount annually into the Government and by the close of the 18th year, the debts will have been fully cleared when the Plaintiff should restore the village and the garden to the Memorialist. In the years of Dhatu and Eeswara there was famine visition in the Province. Then there was found arrears of Jodi due from the village. The other share-holders deep in debt and involved in the Government demand at the sametime, agreed to relinquish the village to the extent of their shares in favor of the Plaintiff Seshagiri Rao whose over-anxiety to possess the entire village was marred by the above Decree which merely directed that Sambia's share of the village should be relinquished to his son at the close of the 18th year. Somehow or other Seshagiri Rao tried to get possession of the entire village including Soobba Bhatt's share. Determined as the Plaintiff was, an opportunity offered itself to him. The Plainliff was the Revenue goomastah of the Talook, and as such exercised his influence and stratagem to his advantage. Mr. B. Gangiah was then the Amildar of the Taluk. He was a mere tool in the hands of his subordinates. Seshagiri Rao was the best of his type. He was both rich and influential. Gangia feared than esteemed him. The Amildar ought not and could not refuse any suggestions which Seshagiri Rao threw in his way regarding the Petitioner's Jodi village. Seshagiri Rao aimed at the entire village being sold for the arrears of Jodi, and in the auction sale securing it for himself free of all incumbrances. For this purpose he moved the Amildar to set up the village for sale, shaping all correspondences to favor his view. The

Amildar Gangiah failed to consider that under the above decree of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner Seshrgiri Rao was bound to clear the Governmet arrears. Nor did this circumstance, legetimate as it was, and deserving of the serious attention, induce the then Deputy Commissioner to form any equitable view in the case. Petitioner was a minor. The Memorialist's cousins, whom the said Seshagiri Rao threatened, connived at his treacherous view and instead of directly denouncing their respective shares of the village in his favor, apparently tendered their Razinamahs under some pretext or other. But God willed otherwise. God smote the said Seshagiri Rao with death long before the village was set up to sale, his object was thus not realized. The Memorialist's father had raised 3 gardens and helped his cousins by giving one to each. They returned this generosity of the father by putting his son the Memorialist to immense loss. The Memorialist, in the 5th year of his age lost his father, and in satisfaction of debts the father contracted, the Memorialist's ancestral house and farm were all put up to Court sale. With no ostensible means of livelihood, it was very very hard with the Memorialist and his widowed mother to pull on during the famine years. The Memorialist would earnestly crave your Honor to be pleased to restore the entire village of Mallenahalli to him. His family had enjoyed it from time immemorial. The arears of Jodi may be cleared out of the village annual revenue collections realized after the village was placed in charge of the Government excluding of course all the incidental expenses and the amount of the annual Jodi. Should there yet remain any uncleared balance, the Memorialist will readily clear it up. If in your honors opinion, the entire village could not be restored, and the Government would go in shares with him in the village he, as solicited already, prays that the post of Potel of the village may be conferred on him. The present incumbent's petition declining the post in favor of the Memorialist had been fully gone into. The enclosures consist of copies of the Registerdar Potel's petition, his statement, his co-sharers agreement to the same effect, the Amildar's Arzee, the General Assistant Commissioner's docket, and the Dyputy Commissioner's order thereon submitted for ready reference.

Besides the records referred to in the Memorialist's former petitions, he most humbly craves these exhibits may also be perused by your honor. He crave's also for the restoration of the village with all its rights and emoluments and thereby save him from further difficulties and embarrassments.

25—8—90 (Signed) NANJUNDIA,

"True Copy"
(Sd.) A. SRENIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

Before the Government of His Highnesss the Maha Raja of Mysore.

D³ *Appeal No.* 311 *of* 13*th May* 1890.

Appellant. *V. S.* *Respondent.*

Nanjundia of Mallenahalli,
Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District.

DECISION No.

Petitioner was a vrittidar in the Jodi village of Mallenahalli. The village fell into arrear, was exposed for sale in auction, and there having been no bid was resumed by Government in January 1881. Petitioner states that his share had been mortgaged and the mortgagee failed to pay the Jodi. On this ground he requests that his share may be restored to him.

2. He also requests that he may be appointed Patail of the village.

The present Patail Madulare Gowda and his brother are willing to give up the office to Petitioner, who is a Police Constable, but the Amildar refused to appoint Petitioner, as the office is hereditary and the future heirs of the present man may object.

The Petitioner will be informed that the village or vritti properly resumed so long ago as 1881 cannot be now restored and that his request for office of Patel cannot be accepted as he has no right whatever to it.

Dated 13*th September* 1890.

Signed) T. ANANDA RAU,
Chief Secretary.

"Copy"
A. SRENIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

K. SESHADRI IVER Esq., B. A. B. L. and C. S. I.

Dewan for the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

D[4]

The Humble Petition of Nanjundia son of Sambiah Jodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Taluk Toomkoor District. Toomkur.

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH

With reference to the order intimating that the village was resumed by Government so early as 1881, and it cannot be made a Jodi now, dated Thursday the 13th September last in reply to the Petitioner's representation that the Jodi Mallenahalli village was in the enjoyment of the Petitioner's family from time immemorial but the Government resumed it when the Petitioner was a minor and that it should therefore be now restored to him.

That on the day of hearing, the Petitioner solicited an adjournment with a view to enable himself to lay before the Durbar all references that are in favor of his contention, but they were as strictly refused as the copies of all the previous records or correspondences between the Deputy Commissioner and the Local Government were refused before hand. The records bear out that the Petitioner is in a very impoverished condition. That he is the individual whom the gruel of a $\frac{1}{4}$ lb of rice ration doled out from the famine Kitchens supported. That he is from a family known to be in a pretty fair circumstances but now quite reduced. In the

5th year of his age, the Petitioner lost his father, and all his properties being looted out by his cousins, he was put to difficulties to describe which these pages are not enough. The Jodi villages of Kamsanahalli and Bairapura were both resumed by Government in the same order as Mallenahalli, but that under mere promisses of clearing the arrears of Jodi due to Government from the Jodidars, they were released from attachment. Like Mallenahalli those 2 villages had undergone the ordeal of Mojni (measurement). The order No. 4442—307 dated 29th August 1881, releases Bairapura and No 4439—306 Kamsanahalli. The Petitioner's co-sharers raised money upon their own shares to undermine the Petitioner or to the utter loss of the village in order to improve their private and personal estates in the meantime. The Decree No. 77 of 1869 on the file of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner of Tumkur District holds the Plaintiff Seshagiri Rao alone to be the party responsible to pay the Jodi amount but he is inclined to clear it if the proprietary rights of the village were relinquished in his favor. The records with the proceedings, if referred to, will enlighten your gracious honor as to the trick played from one end to the other in the matter of this contension and they are appended for ready reference. The Petitioner prays that the records relating to the order of release of the said 2 villages of Bairapur and Kamsanahalli and the English record relating to their settlements may be called for and persued. From the time Mallenahalli was resumed by Government, the Revenue realized from the village upto date clears the arrears due from the village with the current interest and charge of supervision leaving a balance of Rs. 573—10—11 yet due to Government, as per list appended. The Petitioner solicits your honor to be graciously pleased to institute a re-enquiry into the case, to allow him also to clear the standing arrears at present due, to remove the order of attachment, to restore him to his right and save him from shame and starvation.

4—11—1890. (Signed) NANJUNDIAH,

"True Copy"

(Signed) A. SREENIVASA CHARLU,

Under Secretary.

D[5]

Statement showing the balance of Cash at the Jamabandi of Mallenahalli village Maddagiri Hobli, Maddagiri Taluk.

No.	Per year.	Uncollected Balance at the end of each year.					The Jamabandi of each year.					Amount to be relezed each year inclusive of arrears.					Collected each year.					Balance not collected at the end of the year				
		Land item.	Mohaturfa.	District fund.	Other.	Total.	Land item.	Mohaturfa.	District fund.	Other.	Total.	Land item.	Mohaturfa.	District fund.	Other.	Total.	Land item.	Mohaturfa.	District fund.	Other.	Total.	Land item.	Mohaturfa.	District fund.	Other.	Total.
1	Chitrabhanu ...	105 8 6	0 8 0	... ...	... ...	106 0 6	344 6 0	7 3 0	40 15 3	182 0 0	574 13 3	449 14 6	8 0 0	40 15 3	182 0 0	680 13 9	132 7 9	7 0 0	40 15 3	182 0 0	362 7 0	317 6 9	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	318 6 9
2	Swabhanu ...	307 6 9	1 0 0	... ...	... ...	318 6 9	589 12 0	8 8 0	57 12 9	206 2 0	862 2 9	907 2 9	9 8 0	37 12 9	206 2 0	1180 9 9	417 11 0	7 8 0	40 3 9	203 6 0	678 10 9	489 7 9	2 0 0	7 11 0	2 12 0	501 14 9
3	Tarana ..	489 7 9	2 0 0	7 11 0	2 12 0	501 14 9	597 8 0	7 0 0	45 5 8	65 12 7	715 10 3	8086 15 9	9 0 0	52 6 8	68 8 7	1217 9 0	667 8 7	5 8 0	31 12 7	33 1 9	737 14 11	419 7 2	3 8 0	21 4 1	35 6 10	479 10 1
4	Parttiwa ...	419 7 6	3 8 0	21 4 1	35 6 10	479 10 1	597 4 0	9 0 0	46 0 1	17 12 11	670 1 0	1016 11 2	12 8 0	67 4 2	53 3 9	1449 11 1	643 9 10	11 0 0	41 0 7	45 10 11	740 7 4	374 1 4	1 8 0	26 3 7	7 6 10	409 3 9
5	Vyaya ...	374 1 4	1 8 0	26 3 7	7 6 0	409 3 9	579 4 0	9 0 0	44 9 6	31 6 1	664 3 7	953 5 4	10 8 0	70 13 1	38 12 11	1073 7 4	771 2 6	9 8 0	61 9 10	35 15 5	876 3 9	182 2 10	1 0 0	9 3 3	4 13 6	197 3 7
6	Sarvajitu ...	182 2 10	1 0 0	9 3 3	4 13 6	197 3 7	584 4 0	9 0 0	45 2 3	63 2 4	701 8 7	766 6 10	10 0 0	54 5 6	67 15 10	898 12 2	654 1 8	9 0 0	49 14 9	47 11 4	760 11 9	112 5 2	1 0 0	4 6 9	20 4 6	138 0 5
7	Sarvadhari ...	112 5 2	1 0 0	4 6 9	20 4 6	133 0 5	601 4 0	7 8 0	46 7 3	13 15 9	669 3 0	713 9 2	8 0 0	50 14 0	34 4 3	807 3 5	613 13 6	7 8 0	36 14 0	28 5 4	696 8 10	99 11 8	1 0 0	4 0 0	5 14 11	110 10 7
8	Virodhi ...	99 11 8	1 0 0	4 0 0	5 14 11	110 10 7	596 4 0	8 8 0	45 15 2	8 15 0	659 10 3	695 15 8	9 0 0	46 15 2	14 13 11	770 4 9	546 1 9	8 8 0	43 3 2	6 6 0	604 2 11	149 13 11	1 0 0	6 12 0	8 7 11	166 1 10

Remarks.—Of this, a portion has been collected since. Rs. 60 should be remitted.

(Signed.) SHAMAIENGAR,
Amildar.

"True Copy."
(Signed) NARAIN ROW,
Revenue Sheristadar.

D[6] Copy of the Extract of balance Receipt book of the village in the Talook of Maddagiri, Hobli Maddagiri for the year Vikruti 1890—1891.

Mallenahalli.

166—1—10 Balance of previous year.
0—8—0 for Vishu.
0—8—0 „ Chitrabhanu.
44—3—2 „ Swabhanu.
0—1—3 „ Tarana.
18—1—0 „ Parthiva.
42—3—2 „ Vyaya.
2—9—0 „ Survajitu.
2—9—0 „ Survadhari.
55—7—3 „ Virodhi.
166—1—10
633—15—9 Current year's Jamabandi.
800— 1—7

Of this Cash Collected

40—0—0 May 28th, balance due for Virodhi
19—0—0 August 4th Do
0—15—9 Vyaya.
2—9—0 Survadhari.
15—7—3 Virodhi.
19—0—0
30—0—0 August 13th
27—7—0 Vyaya.
2—9—0 Survajitu.
30—0—0
13—0—9 August 25th Vyaya.
5—0—0 August 25th
4—4—4 Parthiva
0—11—8 Interest due for Vyaya.
5—0—0
149—4—5 December 29th Vikruti.
5—5—0 December 30th Vikruti.
1—1—3 September 13th
0—8—0 Vishu.
0—8—0 Chitrabhanu.
0—1—3 Tarana.
1—1—3
3—6—2 September 19th Parthiva.
184—5—7 January 29th Vikruti
154—10—10 February 21st Vikruti.
24—8—0 March 17th Vikruti.
80—6—8 March 30th Vikruti.
0—4—3 March 30th Vikruti.
35—3—0 March 31st Vikruti.
745—7—11
54—9—8 Balance to be collected next year
44—3—2 Swabhanu.
10—6—6 Parthiva.
54—9—8 Fifty four Rupees nine As, & eight pie

(Signed) P. PUTTANARANAPPA,
Shanbhog.
(Signed) P. RAMASWAMIENGAR,
for Amildar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) SHAMIENGAR.
Rev: Goomasta,

Copy obtained 6—10—91
"True Copy",
(Signed) NANJUNDIA,
Jodidar of Mallenahalli.

Extract of balance receipt of the village of Mallenahalli, Maddagiri Hobli Maddagiri Talook for the year Khara 1891—1892.

44—3—2 Balance due for the year Swabhanu
10—6—6 Balance due for the year Parthiva
54—9—8
553—1—0 Current year's receipts.
607—10—8 578—0—4
24—15—4 Struck off on account of uncultivable wet Balance.
553—1—0

Of this collected.—

178—1—2 on 29—2—92 For the year Khara
114—9—0 „ 31—3—92 Do
16—7—3 „ 31—3—92 Do
14—15—2 „ 6—5—92 Do
3—3—0 „ 16—5—92 Do
159—11—1 „ 31—5—92 Do
486—1—8 realized.
120—12—0 Balance due for the year Nandana
54—9—8 Previous year's balance.
66—2—4 Balance for the current Khara year
120—12—0 One hundred and twenty Rupees and twelve Annas.

(Signed) P. PUTTANARANPPA,
Shanbhog.
(Signed) P. RAMASWAAMIENGAR,
far Amildar.

"True Copy"
(Signed) SHAMIENGAR,
Copy obtained 17—9—92

"True Copy"
(Signed) NANJUNDIAH,
Jodidar of Mallenahalli.

D[7] The following order was recorded on Review petition dated 4th November 1890 presented by Nanjundia Jodidar of Mallenahalli, Maddagiri Talook, Toomkur District.

No Answer—Endorsment having been already given to the Petitioner.

Mysore Devan's Office,
Bangalore 13th January 1891.

(Signed) A. SHRINIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

E.

APPENDIX.

To

His Highness the Maharajah Sri Chamarajendra Wadier Bahadur G. C. S. I. The Maharaja of Mysore.

E'

The Humble Memorial of Nanjundia, son of Samlia Jodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Taluk, in the District of Tumkur.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS.

The Memorialist's case regarding the village of Jodi Mallenahalli which his family held and enjoyed from time immemorial was before the Councillors, but when the case was called on, the material papers and references not forthcoming with the Memorialist owing to singular accident which happened to the Memorialist at the time, he lost his case. Weak as his case was rendered by the decision passed by the Councillors upon one sided view, he could not sufficiently state before Your Highness' august Throne the troubles and difficulties he was subjected to these past 12 months for attaining his high wish—the possession of the ancestral Jodi Mallena halli village.

Of the nine villages attached then by the single order, this alone is in charge of the Government all the rest having been restored. Besides the above only ground for releasing the Memorialist's village, there are graver grounds still. The Proceedings No. 271, dated 15th November 1883, forms the basis for the release. Jodi Mallenahalli Kamsanhalli and Bairapura referred to in the latter part of the enclosed records were resumed surveyed, and settled by the Government. But the latter 2 villages viz; Kamnsauahalli and Bairapura were restored to the Jodidars on payment of the arrears of Jodi. The Councillors unaware of those villages being restored already to the owners were led to the mistaken impression that should they sanction the restoration of Mallenahalli village, restoration of the other 2 villages will have to be ordered also. Under such as these mistaken ideas the Councillors have passed this unsound Judgment in the Petitioner's case. The records now lay bear that those villages have been restored and are enjoyed by owners long since. The Memorialist applied for a copy of that order but was refused as the enclosed Endorsement will show. If such is the case, what can the poor Memorialist do, it is for Your Highness to conceive and consider. The Government have from the beginning imposed upon the Memorialist. Should Your Highness be pleased to refer to the Civil Court's decree aforesaid, that confirms the Memorialist's assertion that he had been imposed upon.

In the 5th year of his age, the Memorialist's father died and all his properties were sold in satisfaction of his debts, a fact which he has fully described in his Memorials dated 4th November 1890 (vide printed copy already submitted).

Deducting interest for the arrears due to Government, the superintending charge, and the current Jodi out of the mesne profits Rs. 573—10—11 will be clearly due to Government which the Memorialist is ready to pay at once. Your Highness may be graciously pleased to direct that the balance now offered may be received and the village restored to him, that he may possess his ancestral estate and live in peace there always praying the Almighty to shower the best of his benedictions on Your Highness, family and the blessed issues.

If the Memorialist is granted an opportunity he can explain who the donor was, how or why and on what obligation the estate was granted originally on manyem tenure. A sovereign is both a father and mother, a visible and moving God. Thus say the sages of yore. Such as your Highneps is, the Memorialist throws himself at the mercy of Your Throne and prays that he be saved from the present grievances.

16th April 1891. The Memorialist as in duty bound Will Ever Pray.—

Camp at Ooty.

E² A conversation which took place on the 30th of April, 1891 between K. Seshadri Iyer Esq. B. A. B. L. and C. S. I. the Dewan for the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and Nanjundia son of Sambiah Jodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Taluk in the presence of Mr. C. Srinivasiengar, Sessions' Judge of Mysore regarding the Jodi Mallenahalli village.

The Devan observed that the villages resumed have been restored though after or 4 or 5 years but this man (referring to the Memorialist) slept over his claims for 10 years and has now come in.

The Memorialists Reply— The Memorialist was a minor when the village was resumed and under the Judicial Assistant Court's decree, the village should have been left to his undisturbed possession only 3 years ago. Under that decree, the Government had no cause of action. in as much as the decree directed Seshagiri Rao to pay Government Jodi and enjoy it till his debt was paid off. If Seshagiri Rao failed to pay the Jodi, the Government should have proceeded against his personal properties but not against the trust property. The Government is wrong in attaching and selling the minors property, and that too for the fault of the trustee. The resumption is as unjust as opposed to the conditions set forth in the said decree. Government passed no order to supercede the said decree, or to set it at nought. The decree was therefore alive when the resumption was ordered. The resumption is therefore based on no solid foundation, is opposed to law and equity. But the resumption having taken place during the minority of the Petitioner, the Government having blindly sanctioned it he is fighting for it ever since he attained his majority after collecting all the material papers in connection with the subject matter: and under these circumstances he earnestly solicits your honor to restore the village to him and thereby crown your fame.

Devan— The village might have improved and the Government might have located ryots there and might have spent money to improve irrigation works and the revenues increased also and you might have come in now on this account.

Memorialist— The Government have never brought a single new ryot to live in the village. All are old ryots. Nor have the Government improved the village, nor spent a pie for the irrigation works,. No additional Revenue is levied. The Revenue is the same as it was a century ago. Then the village was under the Batayi tenure as also the garden lands. The arecanut alone yielded Rs. 300. Famine desolated the village. The wells whose costs were Rs. 500 with the garden lands and the personal holdings of the Jodidar below Tanks, which were self-acquired were sold very low say Rs. 30 or 40 and are in the hands of others now *i. e.* in the holdings of ryots.

D. Orders were passed to say that any lands in the personal holdings of Jodidars might be retained by them without subjecting such to sale, and that other Nos. also if Jodidars like, might be retained by them.

M. This fact was not clear enough. The Government goomastahs and others took up several Nos. and sold them eventually to ryots.

D. If the village is at the present restored, you might insist on the ryots who at present hold the same on fixed Candayem, to give you vara and if they complain, the Government cannot interfere. So it may happen.

M. This cannot be now. Lands in the holdings of ryots will be confirmed to them under the Survey Rules. Candayem will be collected from them, and paying off the Govern-

ment Jodi the Balance will be appropriated by him, and any small uncultivated portion here and there will be brought also under self cultivation. A ryot will not be deprived of his right to land.

D. If the Ryots agree to the village being restored to you the Government might arrange to pass orders.

M. The ryots agree to it. They do not object if their Candayem lands are unintered with.

D. A Jodidar of a village in the Gubbi Talook, informed that ryots do agree to the village being restored to him but has never shown his face up to date. The Ryots complained from that village.

M. All the ryots of the Petitioner's village are those brought on by his ancestors They do not go against him or his family, and they have no idea to cross him or any one of them.

D. There are minor shareholders and you may fall out with them and they might dissent. Very likely it happens so.

M. None at present, when requisitions were made to the minor share-holders for helping the Memorialist to fight out the case, they neither contributed in money nor in the troubles and privations declining all right in favor of the Memorialist. They have one and all tendered Razinamah's to Government already. Their claims are therefore estopped. In the management of the village, the conditions the Government might have entered into, if any, will be strictly adhered to. The Petitioner prays for the restoration of the village.

D. The Memorial and the record presented to His Highness will be before me: as soon as that comes I will issue orders. You need not wait here but may go away.

Certified that this converstation took place between me and the Devan Bahadur.

Ooty.
30—4—91.

(Signed) NANJUNDIA,
Jodidar of Mallenhalli.

To

E[3] **His Highness the Maharaja Sri Chamarajendra Wadiar Bahudar** G. C. S. I. **the Maharaja of Mysore.**

The Humble Memorial of Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Maddagiri Taluk District of Tumkur.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS HIGHNESS.

In his tender age, the Memorialist lost his father and was subjected to unheard of difficulties. The wily Revenue Goomastah Seshagiri Rao fearing that he will have to give up the village in favor of the Memorialist under the decree of the Civil Court with-held payment of the Jodi though the decree bound him to pay it himself as long as the village remained in his holdting, so that the Government may attach and sell the village and himself buy or more appropriately usurp it himself. With such as these views, he so framed the records as to suit his motives. Whether the Amilder Gangiah humoured it is for your Highnes to conceive and consider. It is enough for the Memorialist to confine to narrate the fact. The said Seshagiri Rao however died before the village was set up to sale and the Government resumed it. The records will bear out the Memorialist's assertion and the co-sharers duplicity will also be clear from them.

1. On the 6th of April he submitted in a Registered cover a printed Memorial as per receipt herewith put up.

2. The facts of the case are exactly in bearing with the Proceedings No. 271 dated 15th November 1883. The case is an equitable one.

3. On the virtue of the Memorialist's forefathers, being the donees, constructed wells, tanks, and reservoers at enormous expenses here for which the Government spent not a pie.

4. In one and the same order, and along with this village, Kamsanahalli and Bairapura were also attached, they were also surveyed and settled and a remission granted to arrears of Jodi, but they were restored to their respective owners.

5. Under the Civil Court's decree the memorialist should have come by the possession of village only 3 years ago. Until then neither the martgagee Seshagiri Rao nor the Government had any cause of action against the minor Petitioner, all cause of acction being for the Government against the said Sheshagiri Row.

6. As regards the Memorialist's village lands being rented out to ryots by Government, he will not only strictly confine to the principals laid down in the Revenue Rules but also pay the Jodi as heretofore.

7. The arrears of Jodi *viz.* Rs. 573—10—11 which is still due, the Memorialist agrees to pay it into Government at once. He prays that the village which was attached to his family from time immemorial being acquired by his forefathers, may be restored to him.

8. That the Memorialist fallowed the camp to Shringeri and Ooty. His sufferings for want of food and covering in his circuitous excurtions along with your Highness' Camp threw him into high fever under which he is at present ailing. During this period his sufferings cannot be described in this small page here. His purse had run out. He was likely to close his earthly career, but was saved by the mercy of that on Almighty who watches the actions of all and every one.

Should the case be opened again in Review, Your Highness will be able to see clearly how unfairly his unfortunate case was dealt with all during his minority, when all his voice was stifled by the clamors of self interested individuals whose only aim was to better themselves at his ruin.

The Memorialist in conclusion prays Your Highness to be graciously pleased to restore the village to him.

18—6—91. (Signed) NANJUNDIAH,

No. 622.

E[illegible] In the matter of a Memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Nanjundia, Son of Sambiah of Mallenahalli, Maddagiri Talook dated 15th April 1891

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to pass the following order.

"There are no grounds for any interference with the orders already passed refusing to cencel the resumption in 1831 of Petitioner's share of the Jodi village of Mallenahalli. The village has since been surveyed and settled and it would be unjust to the Ryots to restore it now in whole or in part to the Petitioner or his co-sharers.

Mysore 23—6—91 (Signed) K. SESHADRI IYER,
Devan of Mysore.

To

Messrs. Subbabhatta younger brother of Ramaseshabhatta deceased, his
E[5] brother Ramabhatta's son Soobba Rayappah, and Shamanna's son
Someswarabuatta co-sharers of the Jodi Mallenahalli village.

From

Nanjundiah son of Sambiah Jodidar.

GENTLEMEN :—

My contention regarding our village of Mallenahalli since a year and a half is rendered useless. My expenses in this case, having amounted to Rs, 365 have involved me. The trips I had heretofore on this account was from Shringeri down to Ooty a distance of 2 thousand miles or upwards have so impaired my health that my return to my native home seemed doubtful. Now that I have returned is a matter of wonder. You saw with your own eyes that I myself and my mother have no house to live in and no means of subsistance and we are put to extreme difficulties. You have other Jodies, Maniem lands in Timlapur, and Chikkanahalli to keep yourself decently and my several letters and personal requests to help me have not received any attention from you. Instead of coming forward to help me though not to contend, you keep quiet.

If His Highness the Maha Raja Shri Chama Rajendra wader Bahadur's Throne is approached, I hope some good might come out of it. Pray God. please take courage, and help me in monitary matters. I am completely broken down. By relieving me from difficulties, I will be enabled to persist in my contention regarding the village. Pay attention to your legitimate claims. We should not sleep over them. Share the expenses along with me and as to fighting for our claims let them be left for me alone. The expenses can be adjusted by and bye. pray attach your reply to this letter with a full expression of your opinion as to presenting ourselves at the feet of the Throne of His Highness the Maha Raja.

15—7—91

Yours very truly and affectionately.
(Signed) S. NANJUNDIA.

1st Reply

I can give nothing. Much has been already spent and cannot possibly help you in money and enable you in your further contention. If the Government is gracious enough to restore the village to you, I do not want any share out of it. You can enjoy it alone and live happily therefrom.

(Signed) SOOBRAYAPPAH.
SON OF RAMABHATTA.
Stala Purohit.

2nd Reply

Soobba bhatta states that he cares neither the loss nor the gain regarding the contention of the village. That he has already written through post that he wants no contention what ever. This individual and his cousin (junior brother's son) the aforesaid Soobbarayappah (see 1st reply) possess Jodi Timlapur, Ganjalgunte, Malur, Kadagattur Villages, and Manyem lands in Siddapur.

3rd Reply

Someswara bhatta intimated that he wants no contention regarding the village in question and he cannot contibute. He possesses Jodi Chikkanahalli and Manyem lands in Rantuvalalu.

4th Reply

Chintamani Rao and his younger brother's son Shricantappah are pleaders and are the share-holders. They were asked to come forward and afford help but they say they cannot possibly do anything in anticipation of the village being restored and that as a family member, his (Petitioner's) contention if resulted favorably, they feel gratified and they cannot contribute. These individuals possess Jodi Vasudevanahalli village in Manjerabad Taloak.

5th Reply

The above individuals' junior brother Narasimhaiya being applied to for contribution says that he does not want to fight for his 1 anna share. He is a shekdar of Javagallu in Arsikere Talook, Meansless and supportless member of the family as the Memorialist was after a good deal of toils, he visited the homes of each and every one of the aforesaid members of the family. They saw with their own eyes what privations Petitioner underwent, yet they refused to contribute. The Memorialist therefore throws himself at the mercy of Sreencanteswara (god) and prays that he may dispose of the case as he likes.

(Signed) NANJUNDIA.

E[6]

Nanjundia son of Sambiah, the Jodidar of Mallenahalli village Kasba Hobli, Maddagiri Talook having this day represented to us that His Highness the Maharaja will be pleased to release from attachment and restore the village to him and requested that our (ryots) views in the matter being essential may be expressed in a Mahazer, we the undersigned ryots of the said Mallenahalli village do this 10th day of October 1891, spontaneously set forth the following sentiment—the result of our own free will at the conventional gatherings held for this purpose this day at the Shri Narasimha Swamy's temple of the aforesaid Mallenahalli village.

If His highness the Maharaja should grant you the entire village, and you adhere to keep on our Candayem lands in our holdings under the Survey Rules as heretofore, we will not in the least be wronged thereby. Thus this Mahazar.

(Signed) Arjunappa.
„ Venkataramanappa.
„ Sakkarappa.
„ Soorsetty Nanjundia.
„ Narnachary carpenter.
„ Govindappa for Nanjundia.
„ Nanjundia for Narasanna.
„ Do for Krisnappana Ranga.
„ Do for Maddure Gauda.
„ Do for Bettegauda.
„ Narnappa for Kasimsaby.

10—10—1891.

(Signed) Narnappa for Agasara Vira.
„ Do Kare Ranga's son MalleRanga
„ Do Agasara Moosare.
„ Sakrappa for Sadara Govindappa.
„ Do for Doda Venkatappa.
„ Do Agasarapete Ranga's son Malle Ranga.
„ Sakrappa for Gollaravira Timma.
„ Do for Gollara Kadara.
„ Do for Do Moodia.
„ Do for Do Kaddipudi Kadara

To

His Highness the Maharajah Sri Chamarajendra Wadier Bahadur G. C. S. I. The Maharaja of Mysore.

The Humble Memorial of Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Taluk, in the District of Tumkur.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS.

The Nirup (man date) No. 622 dated 30—6—91 granted from your Highness' throne in reply to the Memorialist's representation dated 16—4—91, soliciting the restoration to him of the aforesaid village which the Government resumed for the arrears of Jodi due there-from, has reached his hands.

Your Highness' observation that survey and settlement having been completed in the village, it will be unjust to the ryots thereof to restore it to the Memorialist.

Aperusal of the accompanying Mahazer from the ryots of the said village, acknowledging "that no injustice will-accrue to them if the village is restored to the Memorialist" and that it may be restored on condition that the lands in the holdings of the ryots may be continued to them permanently.

Along with this village and in one and the same order, Jodi Kamsanahlli and Bairapura were also resumed by Government but they have been restored to their respective owners under Proceedings Nos. $\frac{1482}{206}$ and $\frac{1441}{207}$ after Survey and settlement has been completed. The Proceedings No. 271 dated 11th August 1883, being also a standing order, that should be acted upto.

The Memorialist lost his father in his tender years and as already submitted he was spoiled of all his properties. The co-sharers in saving their respective personal properties which other villages contained, deprived the Memorialist even of this little he possessed in Mallenahalli Jodi. The Memorialist being thus brought to a very precarious condition applied to them for help but their replies have already been submitted for consideration.

Including the revenue collections for Virodhi upto 31st October 1890 the arrears of Jodi yet due to Government is Rs. 573—10—11 and upto the end of March 1891. deducting the current years Jodi the Patel and Shanbhog's Potgi (allowance) and 5 months interest for the aforesaid balance, there will be left a balance of Rs. 231—2—11 which being set off towards the arrears, a clear balance of Rs.342—8—0 will be due to Government. This sum will at once be paid to Government and the current year's Jodi also remitted by instalment.

The Memorialist's ancestors owned a garden, wells, reservoir, tanks and lands below and round about them in the village. These are at present held by ryots on candayem tenure. The Memorialist prays that the village may be restored to them on condition that he continues them to the ryots. The Memorialist has no other means of livelihood. He suffered immense hardships and privations in following Your Highness' camps to obtain justice at your Royal hands. Being the direct descendant of the donee, he prays that the entire village may be restored to him under a grant of Your Highness sign Manual.

It is Godly to protect the rights of the poor and helpless. Your Highness, as the representative of that heavenly Ruler may be inclined to guard the Memorialist's just and equitable rights and restore the village to him that he may pray for the prosperity and welfare of Your Highness family and the blessed issues. The gift granted in time of yore, may be renewed to the Memorialist by your Gracious Highness on the occasion of the ensuing Moon's Eclipse, and the merit or the renown thereof may rown Your Highness acts of justice and public good.

22—1—92. (Signed) NANJUNDIAH.

Nos. 266, 4119, 4223, & 4423.

Appellant.

Nanjundia, son of Sambia Jodidar of Mallenahalli Maddagiri Taluk.

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petitions dated 22nd January and 5th 16th and 26th March 1892 respectivly Petitioner is informed that the orders of 23d June 1891 on his memorial of 15th April 1891 are final and that no grounds exist for restoring to him the lands which were resumed 11 years ago.

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
10th May 1892.

"By Order"
(Signed) T. ANADA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

No. 513 Hoozoor Devan's Office.
Endorsement.

Granted to Nonjundiah son of Sambiah Jodidar of Mallenahalli, kasba Hobli Maddagiri Talook.

Your Memorial dated 11th Instant has been gone through by His Highness the Maha-Raja and He has been pleased to signify that Endorsements No 266, 4119, 4231, and 4428, dated 7-10th instant already granted being final, there is no reason to re-open the case. In intimating the same to you, you should understand that any application you may make hereafter shall receive no attention whatever.

"By Order"
17/25th May 1892, (Sd.) T. ANANDA RAO.
Bangalore. *Chief Secretary.*

(General Serial No. 30[redacted]

189

From [redacted]
Resident in [redacted]

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

L.Q. 218

Dated 4th December 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4126
No. 302-93

Inviting attention to the
Communication asking f
a report on the [redacted]
from one Anjunda
praying for the restoration
to him of the village of
Mallanahully

Submitted to the Chief Secretary

Draft Letter

The draft was prepared by the Dewan and a re-draft Letter [illegible]

Referred to Sub-Dy
12/12/93

Fair

Read
11-12-93

References to be marked.

4126
30293

OFFICE OF THE RES[redacted]

Bangalore, 4 Dece[redacted]

94

To

The Dewan of Mysor[redacted]

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to

the communication noted on the *margin, and

to request the favor of an early reply.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*Subject

Acting for a report on the Memorial from one Nunjundiah, praying for the restoration to him of the Village of Mallanahalli in the Maddagiri taluk of the Tumkur District.

No. 2749 1911 dated 21st August 1893.
302-93

~~Subsequent Reminder~~

~~No. dated~~

1 a/c Assistant to the Resia

To

The First Assistant to the Secretary
to the Treasury.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 3749
302-93

dated 11th August last forwarding a Memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy & Governor General ~~of India~~ in Council by one Nagindas Trayung for restoration of his ~~a~~ College called Mahendrali in the Mahalaxmi Circle, I am directed to state as follows:—

The allegation made in Petitioner that a Court of Wards dealt with his property and decided against of the Revenue Commissioner from the Mofussil is not correct. The Court of Wards simply performed the ordinary duties entailed for collecting & realising the money due to him, was a Court of Original Jurisdiction corresponding to a civil Judge's Court and exercising no functions of a Court of Appeal and it ceased to exist under the Rules of First Section of

conformable, for if the Govern
had a mind to [illegible] would
assent to sale of the villages, the Government
would not have allowed it
to be issued by Government for
want of bidders.

The memorial of the inhabitants of Ramanathapuram and Sivaganga, signed by thirteen persons in behalf of their brethren [illegible] praying for restoration of the villages of [illegible] to the original owners

The Memorial accompanied with four letters is herewith returned.

I have &c

[illegible]

similarly resumed but subsequently restored, which the Petr cites as precedents, are not applicable to his case for within a few hrs of the order for resumption & before actual effect had been given to that order, those villages were ordered to be restored on the owners agreeing to pay the arrears in full. In the present case the order of resumption was of 22nd Janry 1881 & the first appn for restoration was of 13th May 1890.

After so great a lapse of time the Govt of M. deemed it undesirable to restore the Village - The Govt

As the Memorialists contention is that the Govt of M. did not do him the favor of restoration for which he was an applicant - There was involved in the case no question of right

KD 16/11

Revenue

Rule the defaulter

and these proceedings to the Amildar that the villages had better be restored.

Remanded down to the

the arrears due being been written off the accounts - Vide

Since then it has

(H of C 9)

accordance with a Resolution
passed by the parties. He drew
further the terms of the Indiana
Farm Mortgage Act & the
powers of the Supreme Court
& then read certified under the
Rules the ~~Petition~~ Petition in the Matter of
~~Petitioner~~ Application for Judicial
Sale, and it will be seen from
the Petition that the Court may
direct that the mortgaged
premises be sold privately
for a period of years or and
thereafter the Petitioner gets title
deed & pays ~~out~~ out of the proceeds
so realized certain specified
payments & the costs, charges & expenses
as allowed by Petitioner. There is
nothing in the proceedings & Mortgage
that Petitioner wants done or direct
the Supreme Court & the Court not
specified in Para 2 of the Petition
& therefore not relevant.

Assumes as between the Petr & the mortgagor the latter was bound to pay the Revenue in respect of the share of the Village belonged to the former & such an arrangement between the parties cannot affect the right of the Govt to proceed against the Village to recover arrears of revenue due on it - It can only give the Petr a claim for damages against the mortgagor

The Petitioner further says that it seems
a Sale Application in the Village and he and the others, who held the
~~although there was another mortgage~~
~~former interest in the property~~
~~the revenue due~~ ~~was not~~ Village jointly with him, allowed
~~paid upon~~ the ~~Govt~~ Revenue in respect
of it to fall into arrears
~~for the year~~ - it appears
that the Petitioner, and two of the others
of the mortgagor expressed their inability
to pay the arrears and ~~insisted~~ relinquished
~~Restoration~~ ~~to mortgagor their rights~~
~~in the village~~ He & the other two
stated that Petitioner ~~had~~ ~~expressed~~ desired
to relinquish settlement of a Village
jointly held could not be accepted.

Mysore Dewan's Office

Dated Bangalore 12 Dec 1893

Cubbon Superintendent
Forwarded.
12/12/93

To

The Joint Assistant
to the Resident in
Mysore

Camp No. 402.
Encl

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 2749/302-93 dated 11th August last forwarding a memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council by one Nanjunda praying for restoration to him of a village called Mallenhalli in the Maddagiri Taluk, I am directed to state as follows:—

2 The allegation made by Petitioner that a Court of Wards dealt with his property and directed

recovery of the
revenue payable to Govern-
ment, from the mortgage,
is not correct. The Court
of the Judicial Assistant
in which the mortgagee,
in the ordinary course,
instituted proceedings to
recover the money due
to him, was a Court of
Original Jurisdiction,
corresponding to a Sub-
Judge's Court, and exercising
no functions of a Court
of Wards, and it passed a
decree under the Rules of
Civil Procedure in accord-
ance with a Razinama
filed by the parties. We
have to gather the terms
of the Razinama from
the Judgment and decree

of the Court, as the Record seems appears to have been destroyed under the Rules then in force for the destruction of unimportant papers in Judicial records, and it will be seen from the Judgment that the Court merely directed that the mortgagee should have the usufruct of the village for a period of 18 years in and towards the satisfaction of the debt due to him. Assuming that, as between the Petitioner and the mortgagee, the latter was bound to pay the Revenue in respect of the share of the village which belonged to the former, such an arrangement between the parties cannot

(1244 35

affect the right of the Govern-
ment to proceed against
the village to recover arrears
of Revenue due on it.
It can only give the Petitioner
a claim for damages against
the mortgagee.

3. The Petitioners Father held,
it seems, a 3½ anna share
in the village and he and
the others, who held the village
jointly with him, allowed
the Revenue in respect of it
to fall into arrears. In 1879
it amounted to Rs 964-10-8,
and two of the holders of the
village expressed their
inability to pay the arrears
and relinquished the village.
The Deputy Commissioner
thereupon treated that partial relinquish-
ment of a village jointly
held could not be accepted

Submitted

Instead of "petitioner
father" the word petit
may be inserted, as a
the period to which
this para refers, the
Petr father was dead,
and Petr, then a minor
(under the guardianship
of his mother & uncle) was
the owner of the share

BR

accepted but that further
time for payment of the arrears due
for the famine years of
1876-77 and 1877-78 would
be granted if the amount
due for the two subsequent
years 1878-79 & 1879-80
were paid. Nevertheless
no portion of the arrears of
Revenue ~~was~~ was paid
and on the 2nd August 1880
the Deputy Commissioner
granted time till the following
December for payment of the
sums due for 1876-77 & 1877-78
and demanded payment
of the remainder. But
the default nevertheless
continued and the Dy
Commissioner was obliged
finally to order the sale of
the village; which was
held on the 15th October 1880,
after every endeavour

to arrive at a settlement with the owners had failed. There were no bids at the sale, and the village was knocked down to the Government, the arrears due being written off the accounts. Vide the Chief Commissioner's Progs No 6200-1/1091 dated 22nd January 1331.

4. The instances of the villages of Kannankally and Thiruvapura similarly resumed but subsequently restored, which the Petitioner cites as precedents, are not applicable to his case, for within a few months of the order for resumption and before actual effect had been given to that order, these villages were

ordered to be restored)
on the owners offering
to pay the arrears in full.
In the present case the
order of resumption was
dated 22nd Jany 1851 and the
first application for its
restoration was dated 13th May
1890. After so great a lapse of
time the Government of
His Highness deemed it
undesirable to restore the
village. The gist of the
memorialists' contention
is that the Government of
His Highness did not do him
the favour of restoration
for which he was an
applicant: There is in-
volved in the case no question
of right.

6. The memorial
——— received with your

(126 39.

letter is herewith returned

Thanks

Respt. Camp Stitt 12 Dec 63

Chief Secretary

L.C.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 9868

From The Private Secretary to the Resident in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18th December 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4354
No. 302-93

Requesting to be furnished with a copy of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6200-1 dated 22-6-81.
1091

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—3000.

(1 to 4)

No. 4357
302-93.

Pol. Dept Exp 1/11
1912

L.R. 218-91-8 26

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 18th December 1893.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 102 dated Mysore 12th December 1893, furnishing a report on a Memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council by one Ranjimsahi, I am directed to request being furnished with a Copy of the Chief Commissioner's proceedings No. Exam-1/1091 dated the 22nd January 1891, referred to therein.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
First Assistant Resident

No. 10744
Pol. F. 76-93 dt. 11-12-94

To,

Re [illegible]

[illegible]

Sir,

I am requested to
your letter No. 4354
202-93
dt. 15th December 93,
to forward copy of
the Note of the Chief
Comm. of Impl. No.
6000-1
091 dt. 22nd January
1894 referred to in
the above letter No.
602 dt. 12th Dec. 93.

I have &c.

11/1

for Chief Secy.

Reference 5/12/97

No V.: Records for reference.

Kirk
8/12/97
9

[illegible]
[illegible] 6-12-938
[illegible] 6-12-97
[illegible]
[illegible] 15-4-97.
843 [illegible]
[illegible]
6852
Pet 624

14 12 97

From the Tumkur relates to the Inam village of Kondajji in the Gubbi Tq. This letter & connected papers (D2-769-93) were submitted to the 2nd Council on 26/7/97 (as per entry in the Index Book)

Ath.
14/12/97.

Mdy
15/12/97

[redacted]

This is an application (petition) (very bracketed in the Revenue Rao (Late Asst Commr of Tumkur) Lakshmanachariar & Krishnamurthy pet -people who got from petitioner when they came to see us -

File 20/12/97

1897

No. 1442

Name of Petitioner Thayappa bin [illegible]

Village Mallenahally

Taluk Madhugiri

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 22-11-97

Date of Receipt 3-12-97.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

States that correspondence in re his Inam village of Mallenahally being referred to him was submitted to Government, that the said village has been in their family's enjoyment for the last 40 years - and prays that Hazoor to send an order to the Tahsildar of Madhugiri to grant pattah for the former Inam with interest & other costs may be paid to him -

7/12/97

Mail from M.H.O 3/12/97

Noted
sd
23/11/97

1332 No. 1442

1462 R
3-12-97

ಮೈಸೂರು. ಶ್ರೀಮತ್. ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜಾ. ಸಾಹೇಬ್
ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್. ಕವರ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್. ಸರ್. ವಿವಾಕ್. ತೆ ಶೇಷಾ
ದ್ರಿ ಅಯರ್ಸ್. ಜಿಯೆಸ್ಸೈ ಜಿಯೆಸ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಯಸ್. ಐ.
ಯವರ ಮಹೋನಪ್ರಿಧಾನಂಗಳಿಗೆ ... ...

ತುಂಮುಕ್ಕಾರುಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮದ್ದಗಿರಿ ತಾಲೂಕು. ತನಜಾನಮ್ಮ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಲ್ಲೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಜೋಡೀದಾರು ಸಾಂಬಯ್ಯನ ಮಗಸಂಬಂಡಯ್ಯನು ಅರ್ಜಿ. ... ಆಳಿದಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಮರಾಜೇಂದ್ರವಡೆ ಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರವರು. ಶ್ರೀರಂಗೇ ಪಿ. ನೀಲಗಿರಿ. ವಗೈರೆ ನರಿತಕೂಲಿಟುಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯು. ಮತ್ತು ರಾಜಧಾನಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯು. ಆಳುಯಿರಿಗೆ ತಲ್ಲುತ್ತಾ ಹಟ್ಟಿದಲ್ಲಿಯು. ಯೆಳ್ಳುವರುಷಗಳತಕಾಲನನ್ನು ಸ್ವಂತ ಪಿತರಾರ್ಜಿತವಾದಮದ್ದಗಿರಿತಾಲೂಕು ಮಲ್ಲೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಜೋಡೀಗಾರ್ತಿಯದವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ. ವಿಶೇಷ ವಿತಾರ್ಜನಡನು ಆಳುಯಿರಿಗೆ ಕೈತಕಿಗೆಯಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅನುವರಾಲಪಂತಿಯೆ ಚೋಡೀಕಾಮ್ರ ನಡನು ಚೇರಿಂತೆಲು ಕೈತಕುವಪ್ಪಿದ್ದ ಕೈಫಾಖಿಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಧೀನವಾಡಲು ಅಭ್ಯಂತರಂಲೆವಿರುವ ದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಯಾದ್ದರಮೂಲ ಶ್ರೀ ತಕು ನಮ್ಮ ತಿತಿಬರನುಕೆಟ್ಟಮಗಬರನ್ನು ಗವನಕ್ಕೆ ಮೋಂತಿಗೆ ಗಾಗಿ ಚಿರು ಮಾಡಿಯಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ... ...

ಯಾತಹತ್ವವಿನಾ ಯಾವನೆಂಬುಯಿತ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗಲ್ಲಾ. ಯಾಗ್ರಾಮವುನುಮಾರು 420. ವರುಷಗಳಿಂದನಡದುಬಂದುಯಿರುತ್ತೆ ಯಾಗ್ರಾಮವುನ್ನುವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಚ್ಚನ್ನು 1881 ನೇ ಯಿಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗವನರ್ಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಕ್ಷೀಣಿಪಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿಲಾಗಾಯತು ಸಿಂದತಾಬಾಕಿ. ಅದರಬಟ್ಟಿ ಚಿಕಾತ್ಮ ಜೋಡಿ. ಪಟೇಲಕಾನುಚಿರಾಗರಪೊತಕಿಗೆ ನಿಶ್ಚೆಯಿಲ್ಲವಸ್ಥೆರೆಯೂವತ್ತುಕೊಳ್ಳದುನಿಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ. ವಂದುಸಾವಿರಮಾ ಪಾಯಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಜಾನ್ಸೀಪುಳವಿರುತ್ತೆ ಯಾಪುಳವಿರುವಚಾನ್ಸೆರಾಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನುಯಿರುತ್ತು. ನಮ್ಮಗಳಅನಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಚಿಚ್ಚುಕೊಂಡಾವು ತ್ರಿಷ್ಣರಾಯರವರು ಹಜಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ಷೇಮಾನುಲ್ಲಾಕ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರವರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್. ವಿವಾಕ್ ಪೂರ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರು ಅಳಿದಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಾ ಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ವಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರವರು ಯಿವರುಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ದಯಮಾಡಿ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತಾಧಾಯವಾಗಿನಡಸಿಕೊಂಡುಬಂದಪ್ರನ್ನು ತಾವುನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರಮಾಡಿ ಕೈಕ್ಕಿಪಣಿಯಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೇಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ... ... ...

ಯರು: ಕೋಪಿಚಂದನತಪ್ಪಾ ಸುಬ್ಬಕುಮಾರ ಮಯ್ಯಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ
ಧರ್ಮವುತಪ್ಪಸಹಿಸಿಸ್ಟಾಣಾ ಬದ್ಧ ತ್ರೈಷ್ಟಾ:। ತಾಕೋಟೆತಪ್ಪಸಹಿಸಿಸ್ಟಾಣಾ ಮಹಾಪಾತಯೋಯಾ ಮಂಭಸಿ
ದಯೋವ ಶರಣಾಂಬತ ನಿಸ್ಟಾಣಾಶ್ಯ। ... ...

ದ22-11-97ನೇಂ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಈ ಕೆ
ಜೋಡೀದಾರ್. ಮಲ್ಲೇನ

Ref: No: 2981

2/ Mallawarachy, Kanagasabapathy & Byrappa, has been cancelled since the two latter villages have been restored as per Govt: Order No: 4439-44 306-30 dated 29-8-'81; that it is both just & the part of the Govt: to restore the village to the appellant on a consideration of the circumstances set forth in the order of 31st July 1869 of the Judicial Asst: Supdt: of Os. No: 779 of 1869 as the property belonged to a minor; that it was unjust & unlawful on the part of the Amildar to have made the appellant a victim to his ~~ignorance~~ incompetency in misconstruing the terms of the Zamindar's Govt: Order communicated in order dated 2-9-'79 in regard to the management of the village by Govt; that the appellant was informed that the village would be restored, when he [illegible]

(1949)

represented the matter at
Camp chillejery on 2-9-4-1891.
that in Memo C/No: 622-S 23-6-91
granted by ~~Secretary~~ Hon'ble
Highness a doubt was entertained
that it would be injurious
to the interests of the ryots
if the Village were restored to
the appellant since survey &
Settlement was introduced
for the same, but a copy of
the Sanad dated 10-10-1891,
drawn up by the ~~Villagers~~
ryots to the effect that
they would not have the slightest
objection to the Village being
restored to the appellant, is
submitted herewith for perusal.
that the Village had been
under the ~~the~~ appointment for
of the appellants' ancestors
for the last 42 generations
such the appellant begs
the ~~the~~ restoration; that on the
occasion of Diwan Bahadur's
visit to Erandagiri on
23-11-97 & on the representation

Petn. No: 2981 / 98

3/ representation of the ap-
-pellant, the Asstn Balaga
was pleased to promise that the
Jodi village would be restored
if the arrears due thereon
were had been recovered, that
No Expenditure has been
incurred by Govt: towards the
improvement of the village
& that the arrears of Revenue
on the village together with inter-
-est Potagi of the village servants
and the Expenses of survey
operations have been met
with from the proceeds thereof
Prays that with reference to
the records & the Notification No: 5996-44-S
17-1-1878, the appellant
may be put in possession
of the village -

19/4/98

Enclosures.

1 dep: Comm's Endt: No: 165
dt 14-5-98.

2. Copy of Judicial Cttee
Supreme Court's Judgment

(44) 51

Judgment in C.S. No. 777 of 1869

3. Copy of the award Ismail Khan dated 10.10.1891

4. Printed copy of the Award marked Appendix A.

5 Printed copy marked Appendix B.

CA
18/6/98

2981

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 13

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಅಥವಾ ಎರಡು ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. 1×5=5

1. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಯಾವ ಪಾಠದಿಂದ ಆಯ್ದಿದೆ?

2. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಲೇಖಕರು ಯಾರು?

3. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಎರಡು ಪಾತ್ರಗಳ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

4. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವುದು?

5. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿನವನು?

ಆ) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. 1×3=3

1. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

2. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

3. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಇ) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. 1×3=3

1. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

2. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

3. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

---

6. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. 1×5=5

ಅ) ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಆ) ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಇ) ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದ ಕಲ್ಲು ಪಾಠದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪಾತ್ರ ಯಾವ ಊರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದನು? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 23.11.-91 ಸಮಯ 3½ ಗಂಟೆ ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ಅಂಕಗಳು 80

ಸೂಚನೆ: ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

4. 1891 ...

(14)

141-56.

[illegible] 165

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6-12-97 [illegible]

[illegible]

22-1-81 [illegible] 6200-1 / 1091 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Dy Commissioner

[illegible] 14-5-98

[illegible] 23.5. [illegible] 1881 [illegible] 4442 [illegible] 30 [illegible] 001 [illegible]

28-8-90

In the Court of the Judicial District
Superintendent Eastern District.

Kimberley Saturday 3rd July 1880.

Before C. A. Rowe Esq Resident
Judicial Assistant.

Original Suit No 77 of 1880.

Plaintiff.

Philip Peter Spies

Defendants.

1st Gabriel Reinecke widow
of Christian Reinecke deceased

2nd Margaretha Ann Elizabeth Reinecke

3rd Nicolaas Johannes Reinecke all of Griquastad

Judgment.

The Plaintiff sued the Executors of Richard Reinecke deceased under two deeds of Mortgage executed by the said Richard Reinecke deceased dated the 3rd day of June 1878 and duly passed before the Landdrost of Griquastad the 1st Defendant as Executrix of the said Richard Reinecke deceased and the 2nd and 3rd Defendants as Executors of the said Richard Reinecke deceased.

2 The first two Defendants admitted the 3rd Defendant appears in this suit. The Letters of Executorship were duly entered into and signed by the Defendants before the Landdrost of Griquastad on the 10th day of June 1878 and duly filed in the Registrar's office of this District on the 21st

21 [illegible] July 1860 Judgment for a decree of Ejectment

terms of the Regulations

1. The Court Magistrate decrees that the Defendants

shall quit and the Plaintiff be put in possession

of Godes Village of Madina Coly in the Pasture

Land with the Garden Ground round, and the

Plaintiff shall enjoy the benefit of the Village

And of the Garden for a period of 30 years in fee

Boundary and Description of the Land decreed to

Plaintiff annexed to the Judgment Roll

of interest and costs and that on the 30th day of

June of the 12th year, the Plaintiff shall restore

to the said and Defendants the possession of

the Village with the Garden.

Recorded 3 / Expired with Romeal Plaintiff

31st July 1860

Judicial Assistant Superintendent

Judgment

By order

Interpreter

Recorded

Date of application 28. 8. 90

Date when prepared 28. 8. 90

Date of delivery 28. 8. 90

Amt

Rs 230

Amount £ 4 6

Examiner fee £ 1 1

Received payment.

[redacted]

/ ۔۔۔

A.

APPENDIX

A¹ Deed of gift dated Sunday the 15th Full Moon of the Margasira month of Nala year in the eara 1478 granted on the auspicious occasion of Moon's eclipse by Sappe Gauda, son of Moommadi Chickkappagauda, grand son of Bijapur Moommadi Hire Chikkappa the ruler of Mahanad in Vijaya Nagara and of Siva gotra, in favor of Chitti Bhatta son of Somanatha Bhatta, and grand son of Narasimha Jois of Apasthambha sutra and of Ejushakhe (Veda) while Sree Atchuta Maha Raja of the glorious arms is reigning in the city of Ghanagiri, is as follows:—

The village of Mallenahalli other-wise called Moommadi Chikka Sagara attached to Bijapur of Dodda Naad, within the principality of Penagonda is granted as free gift plus water and gold on this auspicious occasion of the Moon's eclipse that my father the aforesaid Moommadi Chikappagowda and mother Guravammia may have the merit. As the village of Mallenahalli otherwise called Moommadi Chikkasagara within its boundary marks is given as free gift, with treasures, springs quarries, trees, minerals &c. 8 kinds of inanimate matters contained within the said boundary shall all be yours for enjoyment with all the produce that may grow hereafter thereon without any molestation whatever from generation to generation till the sun and moon shall exist and all right of assignment is also conferred on you.

"Maintaining a gift made by another is doubly more glorious than making a "gift, but discontinuance of same renders ones own inoperative." "Better turn away "from resuming properties set apart as Gods or Brahmins or the rays of sin will run "deep through the pores into your frame."

(Signed) *in Kanarese.*

A² Grant from Tippu Sultan dated 30th Jamadilaval 1200 Hijari equal to Parabhava A. D. 1786, or thereabouts. The village of Mallenahalli is granted as Inam to Ramajois as heretofore. The produce to be enjoyed and the well-being of Our Govt. prayed for. This command is to be final and a repetition of it annually. ought not to be anticipated. A copy of this grant is to be preserved in the office record and the original returned to the Inamdar who is to enjoy the single village paying 100 Pagodas annually to our Government.

(Signed) TIPPU SULTAN,

In Hindustani.

This Grant is written in 3 different dialects. Hindustani Mahratta and Canarese.

A[5] *From*

Devan Purnia.

To

R. Ry. Arunachela Pant,
Amildar of Maddagiri.

After usual compliments runs thus :

The Agrahar of Mallenahalli of your Talook is to be enjoyed as Jodi by Rama Dikshita &c, 8 individuals, who should pay a royalty of 110 Pagodas a year and keep the village in their perpetual enjoyment. This Doondoobhi year A. D. 1802.

(Signed) PURNIA,
Dewan.

To

A[4] *R. Ry. Venkata Rau,*
Amildar of Maddagiri.

From

The Most Fortunate and Accomplished
Linga Raje Ars, Fouzdar of
Bangalore.

Writes this with attachment, amity, after complimenting on matters of business. As instructed by Commands received from Hoozoor dated 13th Moon's increase of Ashadha of Yoova year that it should be ascertained through Amildars that the current Jodi Villages in your Talook for Brahmins will be looked for as to the old standing official order, Hoozoor fresh grants and references of their being confirmed and as to their being held under religious or unhallowed, new or old endowments and allow them to be enjoyed according to grants without any molestation whatever and the Jodi grant of Mallenahalli, kasba Hobli Maddagiri Talook will also be looked into.

Issued by Lakshmana Balal, Soobedar of Maddagiri in the year Survajitu æra 1689.

1. Issued in the name of Ramabhatta for Chikkanahalli, Mallenahally, and Timlapura. The 3 villages are the long standing current Inams, consisting of wet and dry land measuring 2 and odd candies besides contribution amount at 1 fanam per Pagod upon the Government Talook Revenue excluding Inams of the Talook to be enjoyed as heretofore.

2. Like order conveyed in the 2 deeds which the Subedar issued to Shanbhog and Potel in charge of duty.

1. To allow as Survamanyem from 1st Ahmadi the Inam of Mallenahalli village is confirmed to Rama jois under the same tenure as held by him heretofore. The grant is in 3 different characters from Tippu Sultan to Amildars Deshmookhi &c. dated 1200 Hijari 7th chand, year Parabhava.

1. Takid dated 7th D. M. of Pooshia of Doondoobhi year from Dewan Purniah to Arunachela Pant Amildar of Maddagiri directing that Mallenahalli Agrahar to be reduced to 8 vratties and enjoyed by Sooba Dikshita &c. 8 individuals paying 110 Pagods annually as Jodi to Govt.

The grants are in the aforesaid manner. According to the Dewan's order the aforesaid village is confirmed as Jodi to Soobba bhatta son of the aforesaid Subba Dikshita &c. 8 persons on an annual payment of 110 Pagods Jodi. The village is continued as Jodi free of molestation, of imposing gratis service, and receiving things for nothing. A reference of this order to be kept in the record and the original to be returned to the grantees. This 5th Moon's increase of Kartika of Yoova year 1815.

(Signed) *Phoujdar.*

A[5] *Extract from the Inam Register of Jodi Mallenahalli village Havali Hobli, Maddagiri Taluk Tumkur District.*

Case No.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Extent	Assessment.	Jodi.	Names and residence of Inamdars and Co-sharers with particulars as recorded in column 15.	Final order.
		K.C.P.	R.A.P.	R.A.P.	Age.	
					MADDAGIRI.	
					1 Ramaseshabhatta ... 40	
1	Personal Dry. 16-9-5				2 Viswanthabhatta ... 38	Confirmed subject to a
	Wet. 22-6-0	470-0-0	320-0-0		3 Soobbabhatta ... 27	Quit Rent of Rs. 339.
	Poramboke. 14-3-13				4 Ramabhatta 25	(Signed) T. CLERK,
					7	*Inam Commissioner.*
					2 or 16	
	Total...	52-19-2	470-0-0	320-0-0	5 Nanjoondabhatta ... 46	*3rd December* 1870.
					3	
					1 or 16	EXTRACT.
					6 Narasimbhatta ... 80	
					3	(Sd.) J. P. GRANT,
					1 or 32	Bangalore, *6th October* 1890. Supt. of INAM Settlement.
					7 Kapanipati-sastri ... 68	
					8 Nanjoondia 9	
					9 Ammaniyamma ... 69	
					9	
					4 or 32	
					8 Vritties.	

A. 6.

Geneological Tree of the Memorialist's family.



11. 6. 98

C.

APPENDIX.

C¹

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

No. 4439—306 *dated* 29*th August* 1881.

* * * * * * *

In forwarding the accompanying petition from the Inamdars of the Jodi Kum sanhally village in the Maddagiri Taluk, to the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the Petitioners complain of the heavy quit-rent charged on their village based upon the survey valuation, and they express their readiness to pay up all arrears of quit-rent up to date on the old valuation. As the Inam village is in the hands of Government it may the restored to the Petitioners in the event of their paying up, within a week, all arrears at the old rates from Dhatu up to the present date, subject to the condition that they should for future years pay any additional quit-rent which may be fixed on the revision of the survey valuation which is now under consideration. Any payments already made by the Inamdars on account of the years from Dhatu up to date, should be adjusted in accordance with the above instructions.

(Signed) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)
A. SRINIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

C²

1881.

From,

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

29th August 1881.

Enclosures No, Petition 1470.

General No. 4442
No. 307

In forwarding the accompanying Petition from Kondibhatta, Jodidar of Bhyrapoor in the Muddagiri Taluk, the Deputy Commissioner is authorized as a special case to restore the village which is in the hands of Government to the Petitioner in the event of his *at once* paying up all arrears due up to date.

"By Order"
(Signed.) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

True Copy
A. SRINIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

C³ NOTIFICATION BY THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER.

Sec 16 Proceedure when a portion of an Inam having more than one share is relinquished.

No. 8996—44, *dated Bangalore,* 17*th January* 1878.

It is provided in the foot note to sec V of the Survey Rules published under Notification No. 89 dated 26th May 1869, that the omission to pay a portion of the Jodi involves a forfeiture of the tenure, but so long as the portion payable on a resigned share is paid by the holders of the remaining shares, it is unnecessary to insist on forfeiture. The Chief Commissioner considers it nothing but fair that while a co-sharer is held liable for a proportionate amount of Jodi or quit-rent due by his other co-sharers, the former should have the option of retaining for himself the portions of the Inam resigned by the latter. Mr Saunders accordingly directs that the 3rd sharer in the present case be allowed to enjoy the resigned shares on condition of his paying the amount of quit-rent due on the whole Inam.

C⁴ NOTIFICATIOY BY THE DEWAN.

No. 4349-77—55, dated 15th November 1883.

Order—The following Notifications will be published for General information and guidance.

Notification No. 271 dated 15th November 1883.

With a view to prevent the hardship consequent upon the sale of whole Inam villages in satisfaction of arrears of Government revenue due thereupon, the following rules for the recovery of revenue arrears due from whole Inam villages, are sanctioned.

1. Until further orders no Inam village shall be sold for revenue arrears.

2. The Deputy Commissioners shall cause any Inam village in respect of which an arrear is due, to be attached and arrange for its management by the Amildar of the Talook in which it is situated on behalf of the Government.

3. The lands of any village so attached shall revert to Government unaffected by the acts of the Inamdar or any of the sharers as are interested therein so far as the public is concerned, but without any prejudice in other respects to the rights of Individuals.

4. The Deputy Commissioners shall cause a detailed survey and settlement to be introduced into any Inam village attached and taken under Government management under Rule II.

5. The Deputy Commissioners shall be entitled to manage the village attached and to receive all rents and profits accruing therefrom to the exclusion of the Inamdar or any of the sharers thereof, until the Deputy Commissioners restore the said Inamdar to the management thereof.

6. All surplus profits of the village attached, beyond the cost of such attachment and management, including the payment of the current revenue and the cost

of the introduction of a revenue survey shall be applied in defraying the said arrears.

7. The village so attached shall be released from attachment and the management thereof shall be restored to the Inamdar on his making an application to the Deputy Commissioners for that purpose at any time within 12 years from the 1st August next after the attachment, if, at the time such application is made, it shall appear that the arrear has been liquidated, or if the said Inamdar shall be willing to pay the balance, if any, still due by him and shall do so within such period as the Deputy Commissioners may prescribe in that behalf.

8. The Deputy Commissioners shall make over to the Inamdar the surplus Receipts, if any, which have accrued in the year in which his application for restoration of the village is made, after defraying all arrears and costs but such surplus receipts, if any, of previous years shall be at the disposal of Government.

9. If no application be made for the restoration of the village within the said period of 12 years, or if after such application has been made the Inamdar shall fail to pay the balance, if any, still due by him, within the period prescribed by the Deputy Commissioners in this behalf, the said village shall thence forward vest in Government free from all incumbrances created by the Inamdar or any of the sharers or any of his or their predecessors in title or in any wise subsisting as against such Inamdar or any of the sharers, but without prejudice to the rights of the actual occupants of the soil.

10. A Register of Inam villages attached under these Rules shall be kept in the Deputy commissioner's Office, and an extract therefrom submitted to the Devan's Office for Record, with a report showing the circumstances under which the village has been brought under attachment.

11. The above rules shall apply to all attached Inam villages the sale of which has not been confirmed by the Devan upto the date of this order.

(Signed.) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

C5

FOREIGN DEPARTMENT.

Notification.

JUDICIAL.

Fort William the 25th April 1872.

No. 75 J. His Excellency the Governor General in Council has been pleased to approve of the under-mentioned rules relative to the custody and guardianship of minors, idiots and other disqualified persons in the province of Mysore, and to publish them for general information.

Rules relative to the custody and guardianship of minors, idiots, and other disqualified persons in the province of Mysore in supercession of the rules on the subject at page 78 of the volume of Circulr Orders to the Mysore Civil Courts.

* * * * * * *

2. Every person shall be held to be a minor who has not completed the age of 18 years.

* * * * * * *

6 & 7 When the application shall have been made to the Civil Court having jurisdiction for a certificate of administration claiming a right to have charge of the property of a minor, the Court shall hear and examine the parties and their evidence and p ass such order regarding the management of his Estate as may be considered best in the interests of the minor, on a consideration of the law and usage of the country and of the parties and of all the circumstances of the case.

* * * * * * *

13. Any guardian who shall have been appointed under these rules shall be admitted by the Courts to prosecute or defend the minor's rights in all Judicial or Revenue Proceedings.

14. The Court may assign the guardian such allowance to be paid out of the estate of the minor as it may think suitable.

17. Managers may exercise the same powers in the management of the estate as might have been exercised by the proprietor if not a minor. But no such person shall have power to sell, alienate any immovable property without the sanction of the Court previously obtained.

* * * * * * *

21. In all Proceedings under these rules the Courts shall follow the proceedure laid down in Act VIII of 1859, as far as the same may be applicable.

* * * * * * *

23. All orders passed by the Civil Courts under these Rules shall be open to appeal to the Court of the Judicial Commissioner.

(Signed.) C. S. AITCHISON,
Secretary to the Government of India.

1899

No. 1118.

Name of Petitioner Veera (washerman) S/o Sivarmiah

Village Hallena-halli

Taluk Maddagiri

District Tumkur.

Date of Arzi 28-9-99

Date of Receipt 6-12-99.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

State That Hallenahalli a godi village which has taken over by Govt in 1853 account of arrears of revenue. That the banks of the Jalaga channel which runs through their village being sand slipped down, during the rains in the month of J. And also the tank No 9 village breached caus much damage to their That the Amildar ori

[redacted] the annual repairs
for which purpose Rs 166 66
since Rs 150-4-4 from Govt grasked
the petrs to pay 1/4th the cost;
That the tank has got an ir-
rigable area yielding only Rs 38-8-4
assessment & the Palakarai
channel has got lands yielding
Rs 334-4-4 under it, so there
is no use of repairing the tank
as it is and moreover the petrs
are unable to pay 1/4th cost;
That the Govt has promised
them to repair the tank & the
channel at a cost of Rs 1500
each without demanding any
thumb from them; that the
Govt has further promised
to give them their Kandagam
lands, without any hindrance,
according to the Survey Rules,
also the Rates of the Patel
Ghumbhay as fixed by Govt.
[redacted] That the village may
[redacted] given back to the pre-
[redacted] Govt Vanjunathan.

[redacted].

1118

1118 R
6-12-[illegible]

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಸ್ಥಳ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಅವಧಿ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮುದ್ರಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರ ಹೆಸರು

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮುದ್ರಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯತೆ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮುದ್ರಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ಹೆಸರು

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯತೆ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಒಡೆತನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮುದ್ರಣ ಸ್ಥಳ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾಶನ ಸ್ಥಳ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮುದ್ರಣಾಲಯದ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ಪ್ರದೇಶ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಚಂದಾದಾರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಜಾಹೀರಾತು ದರಗಳು

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಜಾಹೀರಾತು ನೀತಿ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ನೀತಿ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮೂಲಗಳು

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಪಾದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆರ್ಕೈವ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಿಂಪಡೆಯುವಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕ್ಷಮಾಪಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ದೂರು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪರಿಹಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಂತಿಮ ತೀರ್ಪು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜಾರಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭವಿಷ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗುರಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಧ್ಯೇಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮೌಲ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನೀತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಹಿತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕಾನೂನು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪಾರದರ್ಶಕತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಿಷ್ಪಕ್ಷಪಾತ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಸ್ತುನಿಷ್ಠತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸತ್ಯಾಸತ್ಯತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಿಖರತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಮಗ್ರತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಮತೋಲನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಮಾನತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನ್ಯಾಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಧರ್ಮ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪರಂಪರೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಇತಿಹಾಸ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭೂಗೋಳ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪರಿಸರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೃಷಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಣಕಾಸು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿಂಗ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಮೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಷೇರು ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹೂಡಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆರ್ಥಿಕತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬಜೆಟ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತೆರಿಗೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸುಂಕ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಫ್ತು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಮದು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿನಿಮಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಚಿನ್ನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಲೋಹ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಖನಿಜ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲಿಯಂ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅನಿಲ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಇಂಧನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರೈಲು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಮಾನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬಂದರು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಡಗು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಚಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂವಹನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜ್ಞಾನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತರಬೇತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಾವೀನ್ಯತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಶೋಧನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಯಶಸ್ಸು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗೌರವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶುಭಾಶಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂತಾಪ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶೋಕ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂತೋಷ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ದುಃಖ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೋಪ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಸಹ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕನಸು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭರವಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಂಬಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಕ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸ್ನೇಹ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹೋದರತ್ವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹೋದರಿತ್ವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕುಟುಂಬ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಮಾಜ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಾಜ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಗರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜೀವನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮರಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜನನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮದುವೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಚ್ಛೇದನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಪರಾಧ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜೈಲು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆರೋಲ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜಾಮೀನು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೋರ್ಟು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಕೀಲ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನೌಕಾಪಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಾಯುಪಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭದ್ರತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗುಪ್ತಚರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಯೋತ್ಪಾದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಉಗ್ರವಾದ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಿಂಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಹಿಂಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಯುದ್ಧ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಘರ್ಷ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಒಪ್ಪಂದ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮುಷ್ಕರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಚಳವಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಯಶಸ್ಸು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗೌರವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶುಭಾಶಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂತಾಪ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶೋಕ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂತೋಷ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ದುಃಖ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೋಪ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಸಹ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕನಸು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭರವಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಂಬಿಕೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಕ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸ್ನೇಹ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹೋದರತ್ವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹೋದರಿತ್ವ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕುಟುಂಬ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಮಾಜ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಾಜ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನಗರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜೀವನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮರಣ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜನನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮದುವೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಿಚ್ಛೇದನ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಪರಾಧ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜೈಲು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆರೋಲ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಜಾಮೀನು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೋರ್ಟು ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಕೀಲ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸೈನ್ಯ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ನೌಕಾಪಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ವಾಯುಪಡೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭದ್ರತೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಗುಪ್ತಚರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಭಯೋತ್ಪಾದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಉಗ್ರವಾದ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಹಿಂಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅಹಿಂಸೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಯುದ್ಧ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಂಘರ್ಷ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಒಪ್ಪಂದ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಸಹಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಮುಷ್ಕರ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಚಳವಳಿ ವಿಧಾನ

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ವಿಧಾನ

కలుగుచున్నది. ఎట్లనగా ఒక్కొక్క
వ్యవసాయదారుడు, అతనివద్ద పనిచేయుచున్న కూలీలు
వీరందరు.

3 ఒక్కొక్క వ్యవసాయదారుడు సంవత్సరమునకు
1000-00 రూపాయలు ఖర్చుచేయుచున్నట్లు
ఉన్నది. ఇందులో కూలీలకు ఇచ్చు ధాన్యము
కొంత, ఎద్దులకు గడ్డి కొంత, ఇంటివద్ద
ఖర్చులకు కొంత, ఇట్లు ఇవన్నియు కలిపి
500-00 రూపాయలు అగుచున్నది. మిగిలిన
500-00 రూపాయలు ఎరువులు, విత్తనములు,
పురుగుమందులు, వ్యవసాయ పనిముట్లు
మొదలగు వాటికి ఖర్చగుచున్నది. ఈ
ఖర్చులలో ఎరువులు, విత్తనములు,
పురుగుమందులు మొదలగు వాటికి
ఖర్చగు 500-00 రూపాయలు
ఎరువులు, విత్తనములు, పురుగుమందులు
మొదలగు వాటిని ఉపయోగించి
వ్యవసాయము చేయుటవలన
ఎకరమునకు ఎంత ఎక్కువ
దిగుబడి వచ్చుచున్నదో
ఆలోచించవలసి
యున్నది.

2, 160 64

ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಿರಿ. ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ವಿಷಾದಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.
ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ಸೇವಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಾದ
ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣಯ್ಯ

1897

No. 1120.

Name of Petitioner Narayandiah son of Sundrah, patel of Mallenahalli

Village

Taluk Madagiri

District Tumkur.

Date of Arzi 28-11-97.

Date of Receipt 6-12-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Six fine.

ABSTRACT

In continuation to his Stamped petition of 8-6-98 (English Translation No. 3) praying for the restoration of the Jodi village of Mallenahalli States that when the Deputy while on tour, had gone to Madagiri on 23-11-97, he held out hopes of restoring the village, in the presence of all the ryots, pending upon which,

The [redacted] submitted a petn to Govt on 28-9-99 (English Translation, Enc. 1). praying that the village may be restored to the petrs; that a similar petn from the ryots, to the effect that they have no objection to the said restoration was submitted on 15-10-91; that the Amildar while he was absolutely on 29-4-1891, after arguing the matter over with Mr. C. Sreeniwasa Iyengar, who was then a Sessions judge, said that the village might be restored, provided the ryots have no objection, & accordingly No 022 dt 23-6-91 was given to him; that from the time the village was restored by Govt in 1881, nothing has been done towards the improvement of the village, no Dakari etc has been ad-

Petn No. 1120 of 1894 [redacted]

advanced to the ryots; that since the resumption of the village, all the arrears due on it together with the interest thereon, the current year's poori, [illegible] due to the Patel & Shanbhog & the cost of the survey, have been fully paid up; that the income of the village is only Rs 270-5-6, notwithstanding which he is prepared to get the tank and the Valla repaired at a great cost of Rs 3000.- that as the ryots have no objection for the restoration of the village,

Prays for the said restoration as per the Chief Commr's Notn No 3996-44

(167 75

Inam Rules,

of 17-1-78.

Enc 1. Translation of the Kannada petn of the villagers of 28-9-00

2. Unauthenticated copy of Govt order No 622 of 23-6-91.

3. Translation of his previous petn to Govt.

4. Decree of the Govt Asst Supdt, Inamdar in O.S. No 77 of 1869.

5. Printed copy of some of the Govt Notifns.

SM
9/12/99.

1120

16.

1120 R
6-12-98

33

No 2
11

To

Sir. T. Seshadri Aiyer Avergall Sogaine
B. A. B. L. K. C. S. S.
Dewan for the Government of Mysore
(Bangalore).

The Humble Petition of Venjumdiah son
of Sambiah Jogedar of Mallenahalli
in Maddagiri.

Most Humbly Sheweth,

That the Petitioner
begs most humbly and respectfully to submit
that in enclosing an English translation
of his stamped Petition dated 8.6.98,
which is yet pending, solicits the restoration
of his Jodi village resumed on account
of the arrears of the Revenue that became
due to Government.

That when the camp
was at Maddagiri, some horsemen, on the
morning of 23rd November 1897, pleased
to hold out hopes of restoring the village
to Petitioner, before all the Ryots of the
Jodi Mallenahalli Village. Relying on their
do, on the virtue of that solemn pledge or
promise, the Ryots do now submit a
Memorial (hereto attached in original
with an English translation), soliciting the
restoration of the village to the Petitioner.

amount of the Chanmage, on the score of the
push of a considerable quantity of the Tank
water dispelying the Pallah. The Amildarees
Estimate to fill in the gap of the bund breached
by the push of water, amounts to about 150 Rs
of which the Ryots' contribution, amounts to
Rs 37-8-0. The ryots of one fraction offer to
pay off this sum to the prejudice of the other
fraction, and the Amildar is at a loss how
to proceed and has therefore quietly put off
the repairs till the end of March next, when
he hopes to be able to judge whether the
Pallah water-supply diminishes by the time
& there is enough of supply to ensure a healthy
growth of the standing crops and whether the
ryots fall back on the Tank water-supply
and utilize the same for the purpose: and then
only, the Amildar thinks, he can arrive at a
correct decision.

That from the time the village
was resumed in 1881, upto date, the Government
has not spent a pie even, towards the
improvement of the village, the Tank or the
Pallah which needed no repairs, nor any
Takavi been advanced to Ryots but the time
offers itself now for the Government to repair
the Tank &c. The Government Estimate is
so low that sound repairs of the Tank & the
Pallah cannot be ensured.

The Petitioners have therefore
undertaken these repairs at a very considerable
expense of Rs 3000, without which, the soundness
and durability cannot be ensured.

That from the time the
village was resumed, the income of the Tank
the

the current interest that were due thereon, the Current Jodi, the Commissions of the Patel and Shanbhogue, the costs of the Survey, have all been fully paid up. The details of the present income are as under: —

	A. K.	Assessments
Dry lands	242-29	203-0-0
Wet lands	72-30	399-4-0
Garden lands	9-2	42-12-0
Water cess	"	12-0-0
Grass lands & Amanaji a		3-10-1
House tax		4-0-0
Mohatarfa		16-8-0
Local Cess		44-13-11
Irrigation cess		3-13-6
Jodi and Inam		3 8-0.
	Total Rs	733-5-6
	Deduct	Rs 463-0-0

Expenditure

The amount of annual Jodi quitrent Local cess and minor Inams and Sundries } Rs 400-0-0 — The net balance Rs 270-5-6

The commissions of Patel and Shanbhogue } 63-0-0

Total 463-0-0

That for the sake of the low income of Rs 270-5-6, it is not worthwhile for the Government to spend Rs 300-0 for the repairs of the Tank. and the ballak if the soundness and durability of the works are to be aimed at. That if the Government should restore to him on the same Jodi tenure as before, he offers to work out the repairs even at a higher estimated cost, without levying the amount of contribution of Chanda or the poor and impoverished ryots.

The Petitioner agrees to allow the ryots of the village their own dayam lands, strictly

Strictly according to the Survey rules; and
to keep them on a par with, allowing them
all the rights and privileges enjoyed by the
in the Government villages.

The Petitioner in conclusion prays
that the entire village may be restored to him
with possession, according to the then Chief
Commissioner's Notification No 8996-44,
and the Inam Rules Sec. 16 of 17 January
1878, and thereby not only save the poor and
innocent Petitioner, but also add a fresh
laurel to the crown of glory, which your
honor has won as the Saviour of the Province.

And the Petitioner as in duty bound
Will ever Pray.

28.11.99
Madhajin.

To

K. Sheshadri Iyer. Overhall Esqr
B.A. B.L and C.S.I
Dewan for the Govt of Mysore
Bangalore.

The humble petition of all the under-
signed ryots of Mallenahalli Kasba Hobli
Maddagiri Taluk

Respectfully Sheweth.

That this was a jodi village
but being in 1881, sold on account
of the arrears of Jodi. The Govt. took
& purchased it. The original proprietor
of the village by name Nanjundiah
Son of Sankiah, is fighting with the
Government these past 12 years for
the restoration of the village to him.
That on the morning of the 23rd Novem-
ber 1894 at about half past 8 A.M.
when the Commissioner Maddagiri
The said petitioners humbly
begged before me all, when your honour
was pleased to suggest that the
Government is not disposed to dis-
"Brahmadaya" The income of the
that by the arrears of Jodi is found
to have been fully cleared
restoration will be granted

XX 62

after due enquiry but that the Dy Commissioner will yet stay in Maddagiri for four days more and if there is more to represent he may be submitted to

2 At present, the sides of the Nallah which rises at the Spring (Talaparige) and runs up from behind the Bijavara Tank are, owing to the rains which fell very heavily during the month, likely to give way and to a distance of a furlong & more, where the silts collect and thereafter is clear. The Spring of the Nallah was in a defective order and is now repaired up. Besides this, the village tank No 9. which is hard by the lands, the Nallah irrigates kept up the lands when the supply from the Nallah failed, was also in a tottering Condition, and during the month it having burst, there was a rush of water over ten acres of lands below the Nallah which was reduced to a mere waste; and unless the immediate repairs of the tank are attended to, the lands below the tank and the Nallah cannot be rendered fruitful. The Amildar of the Taluk estimated the repairs of the tank at Rs 150 viz: filling in the gap burst open at No 9 tank, and

and required all of us to Contribute
a fourth Share of the Amount. Even
granting that these repairs are worth
the Tank is never Secure. The Lands below
the Tank yield a Revenue of Rupees 25 8
and Those below the Nallah Rs. 334.
There is no other dry Land below either
of them that can be brought under wet
Cultivation. We are Poor and Cannot
Contribute. Hence the representation
is below:-

A Sum of 1000 Rs is required
to repair the breached Tank No. 9
and its Sluice by resetting the Stone
retainers and to Construct the
Nallah which runs from the Spring
that the rush of water flowing from the
Sluice might not damage it. Stone re-
taining Walls must at a cost of Rs 500
and in all Rs 1500 for the Tank. The Spring
also requires Sinking and building up
with hand Stones before it is restored at
1000 Rs Cost, and beginning with the Spring
to a distance of about a furlong, to repair
the Same banks on both sides requires
retaining with hand Stones at a cost of
Rs 500 all Rs 1500. Commencing with the
Spring Nallah. The repairs to the Tank
and Nallah cost in all 3000 Rs. Should
this be forthcoming we have agreed to repair
them at once at this cost till the

any one of us paying the one-fourth sha-
Contribution, to Confirm without moles-
tation whatsoever to our holdings to
all the Canalagam lands in our pos-
session according to the Survey Rules
to let the patel and Shanbhog to have
their potgis (Commissions) as per Govt
Rules, and as this proceeding is to the
Satisfaction and Convenience of all
the parties we all Concurrently pray
that the village may as has already
been held out by your Honor while
at Madagiri, be restored with
possession to the said
Nanjundiah.

Dated the 28th September 1899

G. Sivaramiah

Signed - Ummarkhan Sahib
Venkatappa
Do — Venkatappa for Miffa Sahib
Do — Somesvara Jois
Do — Venkataramma Bhatta
Do — S Rungachar
Do — Anjanappah
Do — Rungappa for Gumlar Venkatappa
Do — Biyaramma Hariappah
Do — Sakharappah
Do — Hariappa for Gowdatti Kariamma
Do — Chowdappa
Do — Hariappa for Thimma, Muddu Rungi
Do — Venkataramanappa
Do — Venkataramanappa
Do — Venkataramanappa for Bale. Thimmaya's
son Govindappa

Signed – Venkatramanappa for Ugrappa
Do – Nanjundiah
Do – Lachaya's Son Ranga
Do – Surachetty Pattamma
Do – Sogenalli Nanjappa's Son Bettegowda
Do – Anballappa for Kilagalatti Veerathamma
Kudrpudi Kadara
Bangali Kadara
Spujari Veera
Do – Elnballappa for Unbale Gowda
Do – Pensioned Shroff Ranjundiah
Do – Sepoy Fakrudin (in Hindustani)
Do – Abdul Rahaman for Hussein Sahib
Do – Redagada Ranga appah
Do – Datte Pette Ramachetty
Do – Puttaiya
Do – Nanjundiah for Bettegowda
Do – Sogenalli Boregowda for Hegalal Veera
Do – Lakanappa for Agadara Veerah
Do – Lakanappa for Kare Ranga's Son Kaile Ranga
Do – Lakanappa for Chinkegada Ranga
Do – Lakanappa for Agadara Mudare
Do – Lakanappa for Krishnappa's Son Ranga
Do – Lakanappa for Gadagi Bette
Do – Lakanappa for Ranganna's Son Anballappa
Do — The above named have all signed before my presence.

Signed Nanjundiah for patel Bettegowda

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
10th July 91 177
8

1262

In the matter of a Memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Vanjundiah, son of Lambiah of Mallenahally of Nandagiri Taluk, dated 15th April 1891.

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to pass the following

Order

There are no grounds for any interference with the orders already passed refusing to cancel the pre-emption in 1881 of Petitioner's share of the Jodi Village of Mallenahalli. The village has since been surveyed and settled & it would be unjust to the Ryots to restore it now in whole or in part to the Petitioner at his co-sharers

Mysore 23rd June 1891"

(Signed) K. Seshadri Aiyar
Dewan of Mysore

"True Copy"

Sir T. Seshadri Aiyer Avergal Esq:
B.A.; B.L.; M.C.S.I.;
Diwan for the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore.

The Humble Petition of Sanjeevaiah,
Son of Sambiah Jodiar of Kattanalli,
Madagiri Taluk residing in Madagiri.

Most Humbly Sheweth,

That Petitioner solicited the
Deputy Commissioner to recommend
the resumption in favor of the revenue
Inam of Kattanalli Village, as all the
requirements due therefrom have been
duly cleared, and that officer in reply
by his Memo of 11th Sept 98, (herewith put in
for ready reference) intimated that the
resumption having taken place and

the Government order no 6200-1/1091 dated 22.8.81, he can pass no order in the matter."

That according to the said order no 6200-1/1091 dated 22.8.81 referred to in the Endorsement, the Government reserved Kankanhally as well as Kandanahally and Bainapoor, and of these, the two latter villages were by order No 306/306 and 307/307 dated 29th August 1881, restored to their respective Jaridars, and the said order (6200-1/1091) is therefore superseded if not rescinded.

2 The Government should have considered a foremost and fair consideration to the terms of the then Tumkur District Judicial Appointments Court's Decree in O.S. No 77 of 1867 dated 31st July 1869. (Copy put up for reading reference) in which the learned Judge impenatively enjoins that the village is the property of the

minor, and ought not to be sold, but reserved and restored to Petitioner after he attained majority, i.e. after 18 years: the debt should in the meantime be discharged out of the profits of the village revenue

That the then Deputy Commissioner under date 12th September 1774 merely instructed the Amildar to keep the village under the Government management till the minor Petitioner became of age, but the Amildar instead of so he was made the Petitioner a medium by selling the village altogether and that the Government should be blind to the Amildar's acts of maladministration is as unjust as unreasonable.

That on the 24th of April 1781 while the Petitioner was trying to recover his possessions to some extent in person, and by pleaders, by Petitions and Appeals, the then Sessions' Judge of

Ashtaprani, respectfully to call Your
and having recently perused
the records of the case, felt fully
convinced of the injustice of the
proceedings, and on his representing
the same, Your Excellency then
held out hopes of restoring the
village to Petitioner, as early
as the records, are received
from H. H. the late lamented
Maha Raja.

That in his Minute no. 622, 23.6.91
the late Maha Raja observed
that the village has since been
Surveyed and settled, and it
would be unjust to the Ryots
to restore it now; and the Petitioner
thereupon obtained a Pharkaz
(hereto annexed) from the Ryots
of the village, who Spontaneously
Signify their combined wish
that if the village be restored
to Petitioner, no injustice will
accrue to them if the lands
in their holdings are continued
to them on Caudagem tenure
under the Survey Rules as here-
-tofore. Hence the prayer

5 That about four miles half
century back, the village
was granted to the Petitioners
a great grand father on
their mother, and the successive
Rulers, one after the other, recognized
him and it with taxes so
as to reduce it gradually
to the level of the land, never
took away the village from
the members of the family
who continue to hold and
enjoy it ever since, and the
Petitioners undertakes to submit
that this Government should
feel it as their bounden
duty to let it remain
in his undisturbed possession
and enjoyment.

That just at the time of
his return from the pilgrimage
to Lathcum Sanctum in the
Sanscrit, Your Excellency
chanced to be in Nadalaim,
and that at about 6.30 p.m.
on the 23.11.97, he paid his
humble respects and personally
represented his grievances to
whom Your Excellency was

directed that the case should be explained to the Deputy Commissioner, but enjoined also that the village will be restored to Petitioner if all the balance due out of the amount of Jodi of the village is found to have been paid up fully.

7 The Government have not incurred the village by imparting rights, nor were they at any expense by making money advances to Ryots, nor have they spent anything whatever for irrigation purposes.

8 The balance due out of the previous years' assessments, interests due thereon, the amount of the current year's Jodi, the poje due to Palal and Shanubogh and the Money expenses are all fully met. The Petitioner in consideration implores your Excellency to be graciously pleased to call for these references and the previous records of the Case, and perusal thereof along with the petition, and after ascertaining due weight to all the facts of the

case as urged herein, the
beautiful Alamelu may be
pleased under the Sun Chief
Commissioner's Notification
and order No 5946 dated
4/41
17-1-78, and see H on the
same Rule, restore the entire
village to the possession and
enjoyment of the Hukkeri
Deshmukh, who is the 8th descendant
from Chitty Bhatta, the
original Grantee, and
thereby gain that merit
which Rieps upon hallowed
and sacred blessed name
alone so long as Sun &
Moon shall last.

[Signed] Ranjundiah





1855

In the Court of the Principal
Assistant Superintendent Cuddapah

Cuddapah, Saturday 5th Sept. 1857.

Present C. A. Arundel Esqre
Principal Assistant Superintendent &
Special Judge in 177 of 1856.

Plaintiff

Subbiah Rao Appellant

Opposite Vakil

Ramchandra Rao

Defendants

1st Lakshmi Devamma
widow
2nd Ramaiah Son of Lakshmi
deceased.
3rd Venkatramanayya
Guardian of the 2nd Defdt

Decree.

The Plaintiff sues the Defendants for the
recovery of Rupees Sixty two, principal and Rupees 85
balance of interest, with prospective interest
under a deed of mortgage dated 26th Nov.
1850, 21st Sept. 1855 respectively executed by Lakshmi
the deceased husband of the 1st and guardian of the

COURT OF THE
SUBORDINATE JUDGE
BANGALORE

C.

APPENDIX.

C¹

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

No. 4439—306 *dated* 29*th August* 1881.

* * * * * * *

In forwarding the accompanying petition from the Inamdars of the Jodi Kum canhally village in the Maddagiri Taluk, to the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the Petitioners complain of the heavy quit-rent charged on their village based upon the survey valuation, and they express their readiness to pay up all arrears of quit-rent up to date on the old valuation. As the Inam village is in the hands of Government it may the restored to the Petitioners in the event of their paying up, within a week, all arrears at the old rates from Dhatu up to the present date, subject to the condition that they should for future years pay any additional quit-rent which may be fixed on the revision of the survey valuation which is now under consideration. Any payments already made by the Inamdars on account of the years from Dhatu up to date, should be adjusted in accordance with the above instructions.

(Signed) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

(True Copy.)
A. SRINIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

C²

1881.

From.

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

29*th August* 1881.

Enclosures No, Petition 1470.

General No. 4442
No. 307

In forwarding the accompanying Petition from Kondibhatta, Jodidar of Bhyrapoor in the Muddagiri Taluk, the Deputy Commissioner is authorized as a special case to restore the village which is in the hands of Government to the Petitioner in the event of his *at once* paying up all arrears due up to date.

"By Order"
(Signed.) R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Secretary.

True Copy
A. SRINIVASA CHARLU,
Under Secretary.

(C3 NOTIFICATION BY THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER.

Sec 16 Proceedure when a portion of an Inam having more than one share is relinquished.

No. 8996—44, *dated Bangalore,* 17*th January* 1878.

It is provided in the foot note to sec V of the Survey Rules published under Notification No. 39 dated 26th May 1869, that the omission to pay a portion of the Jodi involves a forfeiture of the tenure, but so long as the portion payable on a resigned share is paid by the holders of the remaining shares, it is unnecessary to insist on forfeiture. The Chief Commissioner considers it nothing but fair that while a co-sharer is held liable for a proportionate amount of Jodi or quit-rent due by his other co-sharers, the former should have the option of retaining for himself the portions of the Inam resigned by the latter. Mr Saunders accordingly directs that the 3rd sharer in the present case be allowed to enjoy the resigned shares on condition of his paying the amount of quit-rent due on the whole Inam.

(C4 NOTIFICATIOY BY THE DEWAN.

No. 4349-77—55, dated 15th November 1883.

Order—The following Notifications will be published for General information and guidance.

Notification No. 271 dated 15th November 1883.

With a view to prevent the hardship consequent upon the sale of whole Inam villages in satisfaction of arrears of Government revenue due thereupon, the following rules for the recovery of revenue arrears due from whole Inam villages, are sanctioned.

1. Until further orders no Inam village shall be sold for revenue arrears.

2. The Deputy Commissioners shall cause any Inam village in respect of which an arrear is due, to be attached and arrange for its management by the Amildar of the Talook in which it is situated on behalf of the Government.

3. The lands of any village so attached shall revert to Government unaffected by the acts of the Inamdar or any of the sharers as are interested therein so far as the public is concerned, but without any prejudice in other respects to the rights of Individuals.

4. The Deputy Commissioners shall cause a detailed survey and settlement to be introduced into any Inam village attached and taken under Government management under Rule II.

5. The Deputy Commissioners shall be entitled to manage the village attached and to receive all rents and profits accruing therefrom to the exclusion of the Inamdar or any of the sharers thereof, until the Deputy Commissioners restore the said Inamdar to the management thereof.

6. All surplus profits of the village attached, beyond the cost of such attachment and management, including the payment of the current revenue and the cost

Ufce
3/7/00

1120/99 P.7.76 of 93.

General Records.

Please return P.7.76 of 93.

Ade
4/7/00 P.7.76 of 93 received.
RB 5/7/00
5/7/00

Subd- Revision petns have been filed - may the enclos be returned?

mdl
9.7.00

16/7/00

Encl returned
ufce
18/7

12 (29)

1900

No. 2325 1-15 34-

Name of Petitioner Ramakrishna Sastri

Village Kadhirichalli

Taluk Madras

District Chingleput

Date of Arzi 6-6-00

Date of Receipt 30-6-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 8

ABSTRACT

With reference his petition of 30-11-99 submitting his petition [illegible] praying for the grant of [illegible] of the Inam village of Kadhirichalli [illegible] Inam Commissioner [illegible] Inam Settlement Officer [illegible] to submit the following [illegible] and praying that early orders [illegible] passed on the petition [illegible] of the village Kadhirichalli [illegible]

1) Copy of this letter of 30-11-79. (9.190
2) Do with their photographic enquiries for the
restoration of Eliz I.
3) Copy of the Judicial Affairs Department to
O.S. Dec. 7th 1867.
4) Copy of Treasury Re Expenditure 11-5-79
directing strict economy in
Post. Management
5) Copy of Minute of Conversation between the
Duke of Sutherland, and the Postmaster on
the Effect that if the Telegraphs were to
Village should have been purchased might
be restored.
6) Return page 423-6-9 on the Estimate
6th H.
7) Rev. Statutes Chapter 80. 4459-3067
29-8-81 Law
8) Copy of 18-4-90 to the Treasury re
letter H. H. Westminster. (Continued).

K.
11

Bangalore
19 6. 90

Hon'ble 100 2320

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPT.
REGD. NO. 2320R
DATE 30-6-1900

34

ನಮೂನೆ 3.

ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಜುಡಿಕೇಚರ್ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಕೂರ್ಗ್

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು

ವಿರುದ್ಧ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಾದಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

[illegible]

[illegible]

5 400 [illegible]

[illegible]

12 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

20-5-900 [illegible]

[illegible]

103

6. 6. 900